

NEW REACTIVE IRON CARBONYL REAGENTS FOR APPLICATIONS IN ORGANIC SYNTHESIS

A THESIS
SUBMITTED FOR THE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

BY
C. RAMESHKUMAR



SCHOOL OF CHEMISTRY
UNIVERSITY OF HYDERABAD
HYDERABAD - 500 046
INDIA

APRIL 2000

To My Father and Mother

Contents

Statement	i
Certificate	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Abbreviations	v
Abstract	vi

Chapter 1

Studies on the Conversion of Alkynes to Cyclobutenediones Using Iron Carbonyl Species

1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Results and Discussion	28
1.2.1 U.V. spectral studies of the reactive iron carbonyl species obtained in the reaction of $\text{HFe(CO)}_4^-/\text{RX}$ system in THF	28
1.2.2 Reaction of alkynes with iron carbonyl species prepared using Fe(CO)_5 , NaBH_4 and CH_3COOH	30
1.2.3 Mechanism and intermediates	34
4.2.4 Reaction of alkynes with coordinatively unsaturated iron carbonyl species formed in the reaction of $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ with amines	38
1.2.5 Photochemical reaction of $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ with 1-heptyne	45
1.2.6 Reaction of Fe(CO)_5 /amine reagent system with alkynes	46
1.3 Conclusions	47
1.4 Experimental Section	48
1.5. References	63

Chapter 2

Studies on Synthetic Applications of Iron Carbonyl Complexes Prepared Using Alkynes

2.1	Introduction	73
2.2	Results and Discussion	86
2.2.1	Reaction of alkyne-iron carbonyl complexes prepared using 1-alkynes, $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$, NaBH_4 and CH_3COOH with 1-alkynes in the presence of amines	86
2.2.2	Reaction of alkyne-iron carbonyl complexes prepared using disubstituted alkynes, $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$, NaBH_4 and CH_3COOH with disubstituted alkynes in the presence of amines	91
2.2.3	Reaction of alkyne-iron carbonyl complexes obtained using mono- and disubstituted alkynes in the presence of excess amine	96
2.2.4	Reaction of iron carbonyl complexes prepared using $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$, alkyne and excess amine	99
2.2.5	Reaction of iron carbonyl complexes with $\text{PhC}=\text{CSiMe}_3$ and amines	103
2.3	Conclusions	104
2.4	Experimental Section	105
2.5	References	120

Chapter 3

Studies on the Preparation of Reactive Iron Carbonyl Species via Reduction of FeCl_3 using NaBH_4 and CO for Synthetic Applications

3.1	Introduction	125
3.2	Results and Discussion	142
3.2.1	Preparation of iron carbonyl species via reduction	142

of FeCl ₃ using NaBH ₄ , in the presence of CO	
3.2.2 Reaction of iron carbonyl species prepared using FeCl ₃ , NaBH ₄ , CH ₃ COOH and CO with 1-alkynes	144
3.2.3 Reaction of iron carbonyl species prepared using FeCl ₃ , NaBH ₄ , CH ₃ COOH and CO with CH ₃ I and 1-alkynes	149
3.3 Conclusions	154
3.4 Experimental Section	155
3.5 References	160
 REPRESENTATIVE SPECTRA	 164
 LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	 175

Statement

I hereby declare that the matter embodied in this thesis is the result of investigations carried out by me in the School of Chemistry, University of **Hyderabad**, under the supervision of Professor M. Periasamy.

In keeping with the general practice of reporting scientific observations, due acknowledgement has been made wherever the work described is based on the findings of other investigators.



(C. Rameshkumar)

Certificate

Certified that the work embodied in this thesis entitled '**New Reactive Iron Carbonyl Reagents for Applications in Organic Synthesis**' has been carried out by Mr. C. Rameshkumar, under my supervision and the same has not been submitted elsewhere for a Degree.

M. Periasamy
24/4/2000

**PROFESSOR M. PERIASAMY
(THESIS SUPERVISOR)**

Kd Sen
25.4

**DEAN
SCHOOL OF CHEMISTRY**

Acknowledgements

It is with high regards and profound respect, I wish to express my deep sense of gratitude to **Prof. M. Periasamy** for his stimulating guidance and persistent inspiration and encouragement to me throughout my research tenure.

I am grateful to Prof. P.S. Zacharias, Prof. M. Nagarajan and Prof. G.R. Desi Raju, Deans, School of Chemistry during my stay here, for providing all facilities to carry out my work. All the faculty members of the school have been helpful, I thank them all.

Thanks are due to The Director, **IICT** and Dr. M. Vairamani, Mass spec. Group IICT, Hyderabad for providing the mass spectra.

I would like to thank my labmates past and present, Dr. U. Radhakrishnan, Dr. M.L.N. Rao, Dr. M.Rama Reddy, Dr. A.S. Bhanu **Prasad**, Mr. **L.Venkatraman**, Mr. P. Bharathi, Mr. C.R. **Ramanathan**, Mr. T. Rajesh, Mr. V. **Dharma** Rao, Mr. G. Srinivas, Mr. K.N. Jaya Kumar, Mr. S. Siva Kumar, Mr. N. Sampath Kumar, Mr. G.V. Karunakar, Mr. A. Mukkanti, Mr. M. Seenivasa Perumal and Dr. M. **Thirumalai** Kumar. I also extend my thanks to my seniors Dr. S. Arounagouri, Dr. S. **Amanulla**, Dr. M.C. **Raja**, Dr. S. Pandiya **Raju**, Dr. S. **Nachimuthu**, Dr. S. Sivaprakasam, Dr. Narkunan, Dr. Subramanian, Dr. S. Rajendran, Dr. Nagarajan, Dr. Mahalingam, Dr. Shaliash, Dr. Varadarajan, Dr. Mustafa and Dr. Jayakar.

I extend my heartfelt thanks to my **HCU** friends, who made my stay here enjoyable and memorable one. All the research scholars of the school have been

extremely helpful, I thank them all. I also extend my heartfelt thanks to all my school, B.Sc and M.Sc friends.

All non-teaching staff of the school have been extremely helpful. I thank them all Mr. V. Bhaskar Rao, Mr. S. Satiyanarayana, Mr. **Ramana**, Mr. Shetty, Mr. Santhosh and Mr. Ragavaiah are a few to mention.

My parents need a special mention of thanks for their struggle and patience to keep me in educational side. I wish to express my profound gratitude to all my teachers for their encouragement and support through out my academic career. I thank my brothers **Mr.C.** Raja Kumar and **Mr.C.** Sasi Kumar, sister in law Mrs. P. Ajantha Raja Kumar and some of my relatives for their constant encouragement and support. I would like to thank my friend Mr. K. Saravanaperumal for his help through out my research period.

I take this opportunity to acknowledge my native place friends for their help and affection Mr. B. Vijaya Kumar, Mr. S. Krishna **Prasad**, Mr. R. Vincent Raju, Mr. V. **Ramesh**, Mr. A. Raju, Mr. M.B. Stalin, Thiru. K. **Swamy**, Mr. S. Paulin Das and Mr. Jeeva are a few to mention.

I wish to express my sincere thanks to University authorities for providing all the needful facilities for this work.

Financial assistance from the UGC is gratefully acknowledged.

C. Rameshkumar

Abbreviations

THF	: tetrahydrofuran
r.t	: room temperature
eq.	: equation
equiv.	: equivalent
<i>n</i>	: primary
t	: tertiary
M	: metal
L or Ln	: ligand
TMEDA	: N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine
DMF	: N,N-dimethylformamide
DME	: 1,2-dimethoxyethane
DMSO	: dimethylsulphoxide
TFA	: trifluoro acetic acid
PPNC1	: μ-nitrido-bis-(triphenyl-phosphorous)(1+) chloride
Me	: methyl
Ph	: phenyl
liq.	: liquid
M.P	: melting point
NMP	: N-methylpyrrolidine
EI	: electron impact

Abstract

This thesis **describes** studies on the "**New Reactive Iron Carbonyl Reagents for Applications in Organic Synthesis**". It comprises of three chapters. Each chapter is subdivided into four parts, namely Introduction, Results and Discussion, Conclusions and Experimental Section along with References. The work described in this thesis is exploratory in nature and the chapters are arranged in the order the investigations were executed.


The first chapter describes the investigations on the reactions of alkynes with iron carbonyl species, prepared *in situ* using **Fe(CO)₅/NaBH₄/CH₃COOH** and **Fe₃(CO)₁₂/amine** systems. In the introductory section, a brief review on the methods of preparation and synthetic applications of the readily accessible **Fe(CO)₅**, **Fe₂(CO)₉**, **Fe₃(CO)₁₂**, **Na₂Fe(CO)₄**, **HFe(CO)₄⁻**, **HFe₂(CO)₈⁻**, **[HFe₃(CO)₁₁]⁻**, **H₂Fe(CO)₄**, **H₂Fe₃(CO)₁₁** and the coordinatively unsaturated iron carbonyl species such as "**Fe(CO)₄**", and "**Fe₂(CO)₈**" are presented.

Previously, it was observed in this laboratory that the reactive iron carbonyl species, prepared using the **HFe(CO)₄⁻/RX** (RX - **CH₃I** or **Me₃SiCl**) combination, on reaction with alkynes followed by **CuCl₂·2H₂O** oxidation gives cyclobutenediones in

moderate to good yields. The U.V spectra recorded for the iron carbonyl species, prepared using the $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5/\text{NaBH}_4/\text{CH}_3\text{COOH}$ and the $\text{NaHFe}(\text{CO})_4/\text{RX}$ (RX - CH_3I , CH_2Cl_2 and Me_3SiCl) reagent combinations, exhibit characteristic λ_{max} in solution in the region reported for $[\text{HFe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}]/\text{H}_2\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}$ species.


The reaction of alkynes with the iron carbonyl species $[\text{HFe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}]$, prepared *in situ* by the reduction of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ using NaBH_4 in the presence of excess CH_3COOH in THF, gives the corresponding cyclobutenediones in good yields (60-73%) after $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1



Presumably, the transformation may go through the intermediacy of coordinatively unsaturated iron carbonyl species that could lead to the formation of maleoyl iron complexes of the type I or hydroxy ferrole iron complexes of the type II (Scheme 1).

We have also investigated the use of amines to prepare coordinatively unsaturated species from $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$. It was observed that amines such as *n*-butylamine, *n*-octylamine, benzylamine, piperidine, pyrrolidine, triethylamine and DMF facilitate the reaction of alkynes with the $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ in THF to give the corresponding cyclobutenediones at 25 °C in moderate to good yields (28-61%) after $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation (eq. 1). This transformation may be rationalized by considering the reaction of alkynes with coordinatively unsaturated species of the type " $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}$ " or " $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_8$ ".




Several alkynes were converted to the corresponding cyclobutenediones using $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ in THF in the presence of *n*-butylamine at 25 °C, in moderate to good yields (25-61%), after $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation.

We have also examined the possibility of generating the coordinatively unsaturated species by photolysis of the $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ and studied its reactivity with 1-heptyne in benzene at 25 °C. The results are discussed.

The second chapter describes the results of investigations carried out to exploit the **alkyne-iron** intermediate complexes I (Scheme 1) for **further** synthetic applications. Various methods of preparations and synthetic applications of these iron carbonyl complex derivatives are reviewed in the introductory section.


It was observed that the intermediate iron carbonyl complexes, prepared in THF using $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$, NaBH_4 and CH_3COOH in the presence of amines (4 mole equiv.), react with one more equivalent of **1-alkyne** at 25 °C to give the corresponding disubstituted benzoquinones (55-65%) after $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation (Scheme 2). It was found that amines such as *n*-butylamine, benzylamine, pyrrolidine and piperidine also give the same result. Attempts were also made to prepare mixed benzoquinones in this way through sequential addition of two different **1-alkynes**.

Scheme 2




The disubstituted alkynes give the corresponding cyclic **imides** under these conditions (Scheme 2). Further, it was observed that the cyclic **imide** derivatives are obtained in 55-64% yields from both **1-alkynes** and disubstituted alkynes using excess of primary amine (**10** mole equiv.) (Scheme 3).

Scheme 3



Moreover, in the presence of excess of **amine** (10 mole equiv.), the alkyne-iron carbonyls, prepared using the $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$, *n*-butylamine and alkynes in THF also give the corresponding cyclic **imides** (45-65%) along with traces of cyclobutenediones (5-10%) after $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation (Scheme 4).

Scheme 4




Probable reaction pathways and the intermediates that may be involved in the conversion of alkynes to benzoquinones and cyclic imides are discussed.

In chapter 3, investigations of the reactivity of iron carbonyl species, prepared *in situ* using $\text{FeCl}_3/\text{NaBH}_4/\text{CO}/\text{CH}_3\text{COOH}$ reagent system, are described. In the introductory section, the methods of preparation of transition metal carbonyl species using different reducing agents under CO atmosphere and their synthetic applications are briefly reviewed.


It was observed that the reduction of anhydrous FeCl_3 using NaBH_4 in THF at 25 °C while bubbling CO at atmospheric pressure for 9h followed by addition of CH_3COOH gives iron carbonyl species. The I.R and U.V spectral studies of this

reaction mixture indicate the presence of the iron carbonyl species, $[\text{HFe}(\text{CO})_4]^-$ (eq. 2).




The iron carbonyl species, prepared in this way, react with **1-alkynes** in THF at refluxing temperature to give disubstituted benzoquinones in moderate to good yields (51-80%) after $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation (Scheme 5).

Scheme 5



Interestingly, addition of CH_3I at 0°C to the species, prepared in this way, followed by reaction with 1-alkynes at 25°C , for 12h in THF gives the corresponding cyclobutenediones after $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation, albeit only in moderate yields (30-37%) (Scheme 6). The corresponding benzoquinones are not formed under these conditions.

Scheme 6



The results are discussed in comparison with that obtained in the reaction of alkynes with iron carbonyl intermediates prepared from $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ and $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$.

Chapter 1

Studies on the Conversion of Alkynes to Cyclobutenediones using Iron Carbonyl Species

1.1 Introduction

Although the transition metal carbonyls were discovered more than 100 years ago, their applications in organic synthesis are relatively new. In recent years, a large number of transition metal carbonyls have been used as stoichiometric reagents and catalysts in a wide range of organic transformations. Transition metal reagents offer practical synthetic alternatives to the nucleophilic, electrophilic and cyclization processes involving C-C bond forming reactions.^{2,4} Also, the metal carbonyls display unique reactivities in oxidation, reduction, isomerization and polymerization processes.^{5,6}



Among various transition metal carbonyls, the iron carbonyls are by far the most versatile reagents. The mono and polynuclear iron carbonyls form a variety of stable organoiron complexes with different organic substrates.⁷ A brief review on the applications of some readily accessible iron carbonyl reagents will be helpful for the discussion.

1.1.1 Synthetic applications of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$


The $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ can be prepared by the direct reaction of CO with finely divided iron, at high temperature and pressure.⁸ It is also a commercially available, inexpensive reagent. Normally, $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ is inert to ligand substitution, except

under thermal, photochemical or under the influence of reagents which promote CO dissociation. It catalyzes the oxidation of gaseous CO to CO_2 at very high temperatures.⁹


The $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ is sensitive to oxidising agents. The **amine** oxides react with $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ to give the corresponding amine **1** and $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$ (eq. **1**).¹⁰ Also, **N-alkyl** and **N-arylbenzamide** oximes undergo reduction with $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ to give the corresponding amidines **2** (eq. **2**).¹¹ Reaction with epoxides gives the corresponding olefins **3** (eq. **3**).¹²




Reaction of aryl gem-dihalides with $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ gives the coupled olefins **4** in good yields (eq. **4**).¹³




It is well-known that the conjugated and non-conjugated dienes react readily with $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ to give the corresponding **diene-Fe(CO)₃** complexes **5** (eq. **5**).¹⁴




Also, the $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ reacts with alkynes at high temperatures to give the corresponding **cyclopentadienone-Fe(CO)₃** complexes **6** (eq. 6).¹⁵




Further, direct cycloaddition reaction of alkynes with diphenylketene has been achieved in the presence of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ at high temperature to obtain the corresponding cyclopentenedione **7** (eq. 7).




It has been reported that **1,7-cyclododecadiyne** reacts with $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ to give the iron carbonyl complexes, **8** (major product) and **9** (minor product) (eq. 8).¹⁷




Recently, an $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ mediated cycloaddition reaction of allenyl ketones with CO has been reported under photochemical conditions, to obtain the corresponding butenolide derivatives **10** (eq. 9).¹⁸



Ley *et al* discovered that $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ reacts with an **olefinic** epoxide under photochemical conditions to give the 6-membered lactone **12** (eq. 10), via a π -lactonyl iron complex intermediate **11**.¹⁹




Also, the photochemical reaction of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ with vinyl cyclopropane derivatives leads to the vinylic π -complex intermediate, that on ring opening gives the corresponding cyclohexenone **13** (eq. **11**).¹²⁰




1. 1. 2 Synthetic applications of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$

The standard method of preparation of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$ involves photolysis of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ in acetic acid with a medium pressure mercury lamp or under sunlight (eq. 12).²¹ The $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$ is also commercially available.




The $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$ exhibits greater reactivity than that of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ in several applications. It is of interest to briefly review some of the important applications of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$ here.


It has been reported that the $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$ on reaction with 1,2-bis((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)benzene gives four products under photochemical conditions. The major product is an **iron-complexed** metallacycle that upon photolysis gives the corresponding metal free cyclopentadienone **14** (eq. 13).




One of the most interesting and important applications of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$ is for the preparation of **oxyallyl-iron** complex 15 (eq. 14) from the respective **α,α' -dibromoketone**. This complex 15 readily undergoes cycloaddition reactions with a variety of unsaturated substrates (Scheme 1).^{23,24}




Scheme 1



Substituted cyclobutadiene iron complexes **16** can be readily prepared through reaction of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$ with the respective dibromocyclobutene derivatives (eq. 15).²⁵



However, the reaction of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$ with **trans-3,4-dichloro-3,4-dimethyl** cyclobutene gives the corresponding **acyl** tetracarbonyliron complex 17 (eq. 16).²⁶




Recent reports on the low-temperature photochemical reactions of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$ in argon or nitrogen matrix reveal the loss of one CO from the $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$ to yield the coordinatively unsaturated species " $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_8$ ".²⁷ These species react with ligands (L) to give $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4\text{L}$ and " $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$ ".²⁸

1. 1. 3 Synthetic applications of $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$


Several methods are available for the preparation of $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ (Scheme 2). However, oxidation of $\text{HFe}(\text{CO})_4^-$ by MnO_2 is the preferred one.²⁹ The $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ is dark green in colour. It exhibits greater reactivity than $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ in several reactions.³⁰ It is somewhat less reactive compared to $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$. Under photolysis and thermolysis, the $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ dissociates into " $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$ " and its derivatives.^{31*34}

Scheme 2




Some of the important synthetic applications of $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ are briefly reviewed here.


Acetylene and monoaryl substituted acetylene derivatives react **with** the $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$, to give the tropone complexes 18. Decomplexation of 18 using PPh_3 affords the corresponding tropone 19 (eq. 17).³⁹




At elevated temperature in sealed tube, the $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ reacts with dicyclopropylacetylene to give the corresponding hexacyclopropylbenzene 20 as well as the iron carbonyl derivative of the cyclopentadienone 21 (eq. 18).




Also, the $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ reacts with diisopropylacetylene to give the corresponding cyclopentadienone derivative 22 at elevated temperatures. The metal-free dienone 23 was obtained in 71% yield upon oxidation of 22 using **trimethylamine** oxide (eq. 19).⁴¹




A novel **trimetallic** product 24 was obtained in the reaction of 2,4-hexadiyne with $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ in toluene at refluxing temperature (eq. 20).⁴²



At elevated temperatures, thiophene and $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ undergo a novel reaction resulting in the removal of the sulphur atom from the thiophene ring to give the iron tricarbonyl complex 25 (eq. 21).⁴³




Tetra-*t*-butylhexapentaene reacts with the $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ to give a mixture of the yellow monoolefin complex 26 and the red diiron complex 27 (eq. 22).⁴⁶




Corey *et al* successfully converted the lactol **28**, to prostoglandin derivatives via the iron carbonyl complex **29** prepared using $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ (Scheme 3). Since, the prostoglandins are very sensitive, an extremely mild method using Collin's reagent was developed for the oxidative removal of iron carbonyl group.⁴⁵

Scheme 3



15-tetraphypranyl derivative
of Prostaglandin B


It has been reported that vitamin A aldehyde reacts with $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ to give the mono-adduct **30** in 45% yield (eq. **23**).⁴⁴





1. 1. 4 Preparation and applications of $\text{Na}_2\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$

The super nucleophile $\text{Na}_2\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$ (Collman's reagent) has been demonstrated to be a versatile reagent in many organic transformations.⁵¹ Numerous methods are available for the preparation of this **useful** reagent from readily available starting materials (Scheme 4).

Scheme 4




Practically useful procedures have been developed in this laboratory for the preparation of $\text{Na}_2\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$ through the reduction of either readily available FeCl_3 (eq. 25) or the inexpensive $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ with **sodium-naphthalenide** (eq. 24).⁵² In the case of FeCl_3 , the reaction has been carried out at atmospheric pressure of CO (eq. 25).⁵²



An excellent review appeared describing synthetic applications of the $\text{Na}_2\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$ (Scheme 5).⁵¹

Scheme 5




1. 1.5 Preparation and applications of hydrido iron carbonyls

a) Synthetic applications of $\text{HFe}(\text{CO})_4^-$


Several methods are available for the preparation of $\text{HFe}(\text{CO})_4^-$ (Scheme

6).


Scheme 6




An excellent review on the applications of HFe(CO)_4^- reagent has been published.^{7c} Reductive amination of phthalaldehyde using primary amines and KHFe(CO)_4 gives the corresponding isoindoline derivatives **31** (eq. 26).⁶¹




Recently, it has been reported that certain 1,2-diketones are reduced to hydroxy ketones **32** in good yields by KHFe(CO)_4 (eq. 27).⁶²



The $\text{HFe}(\text{CO})_4^-$ reagent is useful in the selective reduction of the double bond in the Knoevenagel condensates to obtain the corresponding reduced products **33** (eq. **28**).⁶³




The $\text{KHF}(\text{CO})_4$ effects hydroformylation of strained olefins to give the corresponding aldehydes **34** with high stereo-selectivity. The reaction is facilitated by higher CO pressure (eq. **29**).⁶⁴ However, carbonylation of simple olefins is not successful under these conditions.




b) Synthetic applications of $\text{HFe}_2(\text{CO})_8^-$

A simple method for the preparation of $\text{NaHFe}_2(\text{CO})_8$ has been reported (Scheme 7).⁶⁵


Scheme 7



yields (eq. 30).⁶⁵




In this laboratory, it was observed that the $\text{NaHFe}_2(\text{CO})_8$ prepared in this way on reaction with CH_3I and diphenylacetylene gives a mixture of **cyclo-**butenedione 36, a,p-unsaturated carboxylic acid 37 and butenolide 38 (eq. 31).⁶⁶




c) **Synthetic applications of $\text{HFe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}^-$**

Numerous methods are available for the preparation of this species from readily available starting materials (Scheme 8).


Scheme 8




It has been reported that the reaction of $\text{HFe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}^-$ with fluorosulphuric acid gives the $\text{H}_2\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}$ 39 species in solution (eq. 32).⁷³



The $\text{HFe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}^-$ reacts with **1-alkynes** in THF to give iron carbonyl complexes of type 40 and 41 that were separated by fractional crystallization (eq. 33).⁷⁴




Also, the $\text{HFe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}^-$ reagent reacts with ethylene to give *n*-propanol 42 (eq. 34).⁷⁵ Presumably, the initially formed aldehyde is hydrogenated by the hydrido iron carbonyl species.




Moreover, the $\text{HFe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}^-$ reduces nitroarenes to amines 43a, 43b, carbon-nitrogen double bonds to 44, carbon-halogen bonds to 45 and α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds to 46 in good yields (Scheme 9).^{59,68}

Scheme 9



d) Synthetic applications of $\text{H}_2\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$

The $\text{H}_2\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$ can be readily prepared from iron carbonyl anions by acidification (eq. 35).⁷⁷




It is unstable above -10°C , but it can be stored for long periods of time at liq. N_2 temperature.⁷⁷

The “**H₂Fe(CO)₄**” was considered as intermediate in the Reppe's hydroformylation reactions.⁷⁸

e) Synthetic applications of **H₂Fe₃(CO)₁₁**

The dihydrido derivative **H₂Fe₃(CO)₁₁** is also highly unstable. It decomposes above **-40 °C**. It was suggested that these species may be responsible for the conversion of ethylene to ***n*-propanol** **47** in hydroformylation reactions (eq. **36**).⁷⁹




1. 1. 6 Preparation and applications of “**Fe(CO)₄**”

In many organic transformations using **Fe(CO)₅**, the reactive species involved may be the electronically and coordinatively unsaturated species such as “**Fe(CO)₄**”. This species is “*isolobal*” with carbene “**CH₂**”.⁸⁰ The *isolobal* analogy explains the similarities between organic and inorganic molecules of similar electronic structure.

As our objective is to develop new organic synthetic methods using coordinatively unsaturated iron carbonyl species such as “**Fe(CO)₄**”, prepared *in situ* using readily accessible iron carbonyl reagents, it may be helpful for discussion to briefly review the preparation and applications of the “**Fe(CO)₄**” species.


Several methods are available for the preparation of this species *in situ* (Scheme 10). Its physical properties have been studied in matrices.⁸¹

Scheme 10




The " Fe(CO)_4 " is highly unstable. It forms addition complexes with variety of organic molecules. Some of the simple addition reactions are summarized below (Scheme 11).


Scheme 11




The intermediate complex $\text{Me}_3\text{N:Fe(CO)}_4$ 48 obtained in the reduction of Me_3NO by Fe(CO)_5 , acts as a catalyst for the addition of CCl_4 to unsaturated substrates, to give the corresponding chlorinated product 49 (eq. 37).⁸⁴




The $\text{Me}_3\text{N:Fe(CO)}_4$ complex 48 reacts with 1-(ω -alkynyl)-1,2-propadienyl sulphides to give bicyclic dienones 50 through the corresponding η^3 -allyl iron complexes under photochemical conditions (eq. 38).




An interesting Pauson-Khand type alkyne-alkene coupling reaction promoted by the iron carbonyl species $\text{Fe(CO)}_4(\text{acetone})$, (prepared by stirring $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$ with acetone and toluene) was reported (eq. 39).⁸⁰



The " Fe(CO)_4 " species prepared under different conditions were used in various alkyne-alkene coupling reactions to obtain a variety of cyclopentenones 52 (eq. 40).⁹³




Whitemire *et al* reported that the reaction of $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}]\text{HFe}(\text{CO})_4$ with CH_3I in CH_3CN leads to the formation of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})$ 53 and CH_4 .⁸⁹ Acetone has been also obtained in this reaction (eq. 41).⁸⁹ It was suggested that the acetone arises from the reaction of CH_3I with “ $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$ ”.⁸⁹




Since our objective is to generate coordinatively unsaturated iron carbonyl species *in situ* and study its reactivity, we became interested in examining the reactivity pattern of “ $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$ ” species generated using the $\text{NaHFe}(\text{CO})_4/\text{RX}$ system with alkynes.


Preliminary experiments using the $\text{NaHFe}(\text{CO})_4/\text{CH}_3\text{I}$ reagent combination revealed that the iron carbonyl species, generated in this way, reacts with alkynes to give the corresponding cyclobutenediones 54 (27-42%) and the α,β -unsaturated carboxylic acids 55 (10-22%) after $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation (eq. 42)!*




The use of CH_2Cl_2 in the place of CH_3I gave the corresponding hydrocarboxylated products **55** in a regio- and stereoselective fashion after $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation (eq. **43**).^{95a}




Also, the corresponding methyl ester **56** was obtained, upon I_2 treatment in the presence of methanol followed by $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation, besides traces of the corresponding cyclobutenedione **36** (eq. **44**).^{95a} Further, the corresponding amide was obtained when diethylamine was used instead of CH_3OH .



The reactivity of the species prepared using $\text{NaHFe(CO)}_4/\text{Me}_3\text{SiCl}$ combination was also examined to compare the results obtained using CH_3I and CH_2Cl_2 . It was observed that the intermediate iron carbonyl species, prepared using the NaHFe(CO)_4 and Me_3SiCl , reacts with alkynes to give the corresponding α,β -unsaturated carboxylic acids **55** with excellent regio- and stereoselectivities (eq. **45**).^{95b}



An interesting temperature effect was observed in the reaction with the $\text{NaHFe(CO)}_4/\text{Me}_3\text{SiCl}$ system. It was found that the reaction with alkyne at 60 °C followed by $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation gave the corresponding cyclobutenedione 54. The α,β -unsaturated carboxylic acid was not formed under these conditions (eq. 46).^{95b}




We have undertaken further investigations to understand the reactive species, mechanistic pathway and scope of this transformation. The results are described in this chapter.

1. 2 Results and Discussion

1. 2. 1 U.V. spectral studies of the reactive iron carbonyl species obtained in the reaction of $\text{HFe}(\text{CO})_4^-/\text{RX}$ system in THF

As outlined in the introductory section, the iron carbonyl species obtained in the reaction of $\text{HFe}(\text{CO})_4^-$ with various RX reagents reacts with alkynes to give the corresponding cyclobutenediones 54 and α,β -unsaturated carboxylic acids 55 (eq. 42-46). It was of interest to understand the nature of the iron carbonyl species formed under these conditions.


Whitmire *et. al.* reported that the $\text{HFe}(\text{CO})_4^-$ slowly decomposes in CH_2Cl_2 to $[\text{HFe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}]^-$ through the " $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$ " intermediate (eq. 47).⁶⁹



The U.V. spectra of the $\text{HFe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}^-$ in THF solution have been reported. We have examined the U.V. spectra of the solutions obtained in the reaction of $\text{HFe}(\text{CO})_4^-$ with RX (RX = CH_3I or CH_2Cl_2 or Me_3SiCl). **Indeed**, the U.V spectra recorded for the iron carbonyl species (Figure 1) prepared under these

conditions exhibit characteristic ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 525 \text{ nm}$) absorption data reported for the $[\text{HFe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}]^-/\text{H}_2\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}$ species in solution.⁹⁶


Figure 1. U.V. spectra for iron carbonyl species




1. $\text{NaHFe}(\text{CO})_4/\text{CH}_3\text{I}$ in THF at 25 °C
2. $\text{NaHFe}(\text{CO})_4/\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ in THF at 25 °C
3. $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5/\text{NaBH}_4/\text{CH}_3\text{COOH}$ in THF at 25 °C
4. $\text{NaHFe}(\text{CO})_4/\text{Me}_3\text{SiCl}$ in THF at 25 °C
5. $\text{NaHFe}(\text{CO})_4/\text{Me}_3\text{SiCl}$ in THF at 60 °C

1. 2. 2 Reaction of alkynes with iron carbonyl species prepared using $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$, NaBH_4 and CH_3COOH

To further examine the possibility, whether the $[\text{HFe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}]^-$ or related species is responsible for the formation of cyclobutenedione 54, we have decided to prepare the $[\text{HFe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}]^-$ species following a reported procedure to study its reactivity with alkyne. The $[\text{HFe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}]^-$ species has been previously prepared using $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$, NaBH_4 , CH_3OH and CH_3COOH in THF and isolated as PPN salt in 73% yield (eq. 48).⁶⁷



We have observed that the $[\text{HFe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}]^-$ species, prepared *in situ* in THF using $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$, NaBH_4 and CH_3COOH , reacts with alkynes at 25 °C to give the corresponding cyclobutenediones 54 in good yields (60-73%) after $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation (eq. 49).⁹⁷ Several alkynes were converted to the corresponding cyclobutenediones 54. The results are summarized in Table 1.



Evidently, the reagent system can tolerate unmasked hydroxyl functional group (entries 7 and 8, Table 1). The formation of cyclobutenedione from an enyne (entry 6, Table 1) indicates that the iron carbonyl reagent reacts with alkynes without affecting the **olefin** moiety.


The yields of the cyclobutenediones obtained following this method are somewhat better (**63-71%**) than that realized using the **NaHFe(CO)₄/RX** system (eq. 42 & 46). Moreover, the preparation of **NaHFe(CO)₄** requires **Na₂Fe(CO)₄** which in turn is prepared from **Fe(CO)₅/Na/Naphthalene**. However, the preparation of the reactive iron carbonyl species through the reaction of **Fe(CO)₅** with **NaBH₄** and **CH₃COOH** reagent is relatively less complicated. Further, in this method, the reaction is carried out under ambient conditions and hence it should be more convenient for synthetic applications.

We have also made attempts to isolate the iron carbonyl species as **PPh₃** derivative for NMR spectral analysis. Unfortunately, only a complex mixture of products was isolated in the reaction with **Ph₃P**. However, the U.V spectrum recorded for this reagent system is similar to the spectra recorded for the **HFe(CO)₄⁻/RX** reagent system in THF (Figure 1).⁹⁶

As outlined in the introductory section, the reaction of **HFe(CO)₄⁻/CH₂Cl₂** and **HFe(CO)₄⁻/Me₃SiCl** at 25 °C leads to α,β-unsaturated carboxylic acids 55 (eq. 43 & 45). It was thought that if the reactive species responsible for the conversion of alkynes to the corresponding cyclobutenediones 54 is derived from







the $\text{HFe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}^-$ species, then the difference in reactivity between the species generated using $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5/\text{NaBH}_4/\text{CH}_3\text{COOH}$ and $\text{NaHFe}(\text{CO})_4/\text{RX}$ reagent systems is difficult to rationalize. Whereas, the reaction of the former combination with alkynes leads to the corresponding cyclobutenediones 54 (eq. 49), the latter results in the formation of α,β -unsaturated carboxylic acids 55 (eq. 42).

Probably, the CH_3COOH used to destroy the excess NaBH_4 in the former case may play a role in the formation of the reactive species from the $[\text{HFe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}]^-$.⁹³ Presumably, in the presence of excess CH_3COOH , the $\text{HFe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}^-$ may give the $\text{H}_2\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}$, which may dissociate into " $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}$ " and H_2 (eq. 50).⁷³ The " $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}$ " species upon further reaction with alkynes would give the corresponding cyclobutenediones 54.




If this is the case, use of an additional amount of CH_3COOH to the iron carbonyl species prepared using $\text{NaHFe}(\text{CO})_4/\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ reagent system (eq. 42) should also give the corresponding cyclobutenedione 54. Indeed, this was observed. It was found that the reaction of the iron carbonyl species prepared

Table 1: Reaction of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5/\text{NaBH}_4/\text{CH}_3\text{COOH}$ system with alkynes

S.No	Alkyne	Product ^a	Yield (%) ^b
1.	$\text{R} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_{11}, \text{R}' = \text{H}$	57	73 ^c
2.	$\text{R} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_{13}, \text{R}' = \text{H}$	58	69 ^c
3.	$\text{R} = \text{C}_8\text{H}_{17}, \text{R}' = \text{H}$	59	70 ^c
4.	$\text{R} = \text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{21}, \text{R}' = \text{H}$	60	68 ^c
5.	$\text{R} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_5, \text{R}' = \text{H}$	61	65 ^d
6.		 62	60
7.		 63	61
8.		 64	63 ^e

a) Products were identified from the spectral data IR, ^{13}C NMR, ^1H NMR and Mass. b) Yields are of products isolated by column chromatography and based on the amount of alkynes used. c) The spectral data of products 58, 59, and 60 are comparable to the spectral data reported for the product 57." d) For the cyclobutenedione 61, the M.P data is comparable with the reported data.⁹⁹ e) For the cyclobutenedione 64, HRMS data were also obtained.

after the addition of excess CH_3COOH to the $\text{NaHFe(CO)}_4/\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ reagent system in THF, reacts with **1-heptyne** at 25 °C to give the corresponding cyclobutenedione **57** in 33% yield after $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation. The corresponding α,β -unsaturated carboxylic acid **55** was not formed under these conditions (eq. **51**).⁹³




1. 2. 3 Mechanism and intermediates


It was reported that the reaction of acetylene with an alkaline solution of

1 no


Fe(CO)_5 leads to the dienol complex **66** at room temperature. However, the yields of such complexes are poor for higher alkynes even after a long reaction time (3 days).¹⁰⁰ Also, it was reported that the FeCl_3 oxidation of complex **66** in ether yields cyclobutenedione **67** (eq. **52**).¹⁰¹




The use of **FeCl₃** in the place of **CuCl₂·2H₂O** (eq. 53) for oxidation under the present conditions gave only a complex mixture of products.^{97,93}



However, the present transformation may still go through the formation of the **alkyne-iron** complex 65 or dienol complex 66. Oxidation of these complexes using **CuCl₂·2H₂O** may lead to the formation of **cyclobutenedione**.^{97,93}




Also, it has been reported that the hydroxy ferrole iron complexes 66 and the maleoyl iron complexes 68 are structurally and chemically **related**.¹⁰⁰ The maleoyl iron complexes 68 can be obtained upon oxidation of **66**.¹⁰⁰



Accordingly, the possibility of existence of the iron carbonyl complex of the type 65, cannot be ruled out under the reaction conditions described here. Hence, a tentative mechanistic pathway for the formation of cyclobutenediones can be visualized as shown in Scheme 12.⁹³ The iron carbonyl complex of the type 65 could result from the coordination of the alkyne moiety to the **coordinatively** unsaturated iron carbonyl species of the type " $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}$ " followed by carbon monoxide insertion.¹⁰² Oxidation of 65 with $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ would give the corresponding cyclobutenedione 54.


Scheme 12



We have also investigated this double carbonylation process further to understand the nature of the iron carbonyl species responsible for this


transformation. It was thought that the use of the readily accessible $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ could serve as a source for the preparation of the coordinatively unsaturated species. Recently, it has been reported by Yamaguchi *et al*^{103a} as well as in this laboratory^{103b} that amines can induce the Pauson-Khand reaction of alkyne-cobalt complexes under ambient conditions through formation of coordinatively unsaturated cobalt carbonyl species 70 (Scheme 13).^{103b}

Scheme 13




We have examined the possibility whether the coordinatively unsaturated species of type " $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}$ " can be generated using the $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ /amine reagent combination so as to compare its reactivity with that of the species obtained using the $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$, NaBH_4 and CH_3COOH reagent system. The results are discussed in the next section.

We have observed that amines facilitate the reaction of $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ with alkynes in THF to give the corresponding cyclobutenediones **54** after $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation. Amines such as *n*-butylamine, *n*-octylamine, benzylamine, pyrrolidine, piperidine, triethylamine and DMF were employed for this purpose (eq. 57).¹⁰⁷ The results are summarized in Table 2.





The use of *n*-butylamine gives better results (entry 1, Table 2) (eq. 58).¹⁰⁷



Accordingly, we have carried out the reaction of several other alkynes under these conditions. Evidently, this reagent system can tolerate unmasked functional groups such as hydroxyl and trimethylsilyl (entries 5-8, Table 3).

Table 2: Reaction of the $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ /amine system with alkynes


S.No	Amine	Alkyne	Product ^a	Yield(%) ^b
1.	$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_2$	$\text{R} = \text{C}_5\text{H}_{11}$	 57	61
2.	$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{NH}_2$	$\text{R} = \text{C}_8\text{H}_{11}$	57	45
3.	Piperidine	$\text{R} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_{11}$	57	33
4.	Pyrrolidine	$\text{R} = \text{C}_5\text{H}_{11}$	57	30
5.	PhCH_2NH_2	$\text{R} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_{11}$	57	37
6.	$(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5)_3\text{N}$	$\text{R} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_{11}$	57	28
7.	DMF	$\text{R} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_{11}$	57	31
8.	$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_2$	$\text{R} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_5$	 61	41
9.	$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{NH}_2$	$\text{R} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_5$	61	28
10.	$(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5)_3\text{N}$	$\text{R} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_5$	61	18

a) Products were identified from the spectral data IR, ^{13}C NMR, ^1H NMR and Mass and compared with the reported data.⁹⁸⁻⁹⁹

b) Yields are of products isolated by column chromatography.






A tentative mechanistic pathway for the formation of cyclobutene-**diones** can be visualized through steps outlined in Scheme 14. Presumably, the **amine** may help in the removal of one of the CO ligands from the $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ to give the coordinatively unsaturated reactive species $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}$ that on further reaction with alkynes, followed by CO insertion would give the **alkyne-iron** complex intermediate **65**.¹⁰² Oxidation of **65** with $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ would produce the corresponding cyclobutenediones **54** (Scheme 14).

Scheme 14




However, the possibility that the amine may split the $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ into the species such as $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4(\text{amine})$ and $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_8$ cannot be ruled out. The $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_8$ produced in this way can pick up an alkyne followed by CO insertion to give the alkyne-iron complex intermediate **65**. Oxidation of **65** with $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ would then produce the corresponding cyclobutenedione **54** (Scheme 15).¹⁰⁷

Table 3: Reaction of $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}/n\text{-butylamine}$ system with alkynes

S.No	Alkyne	Product ^a	Yield(%) ^b
1.	$\text{R} = \text{C}_5\text{H}_{11}, \text{R}' = \text{H}$	57	61
2.	$\text{R} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_{13}, \text{R}' = \text{H}$	58	54
3.	$\text{R} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_5, \text{R}' = \text{H}$	61	41
4.	$\text{R}, \text{R}' = \text{C}_6\text{H}_5$	 36	45
5.	$\text{R} = \text{C}_5\text{H}_{11}, \text{R}' = \text{SiMe}_3$	 65	28
6.	$\text{R} = \text{C}_8\text{H}_{17}, \text{R}' = \text{SiMe}_3$	 66	25
7.		63	33
8.		64	37


a) Products were identified from the spectral data IR, ^1H NMR, ^{13}C NMR and Mass. The spectral data of product 57, 58 and 61 were identical to the reported data.^{98,99} For the products 64 and 65 HRMS data were also obtained. b) Yields are of products isolated by column chromatography using hexane/ethyl acetate (98:2) as eluent and based on the amount of alkynes used.

Scheme 15




The cyclobutenediones have been used as starting materials for the synthesis of highly **functionalized**, biologically active molecules. A number of methods are available for the conversion of cyclobutenediones to benzoquinones 72 and cyclopentenone derivatives 73 (Scheme 16).¹⁰⁸

Scheme 16



It has been also reported that under thermolysis, the cyclobutenones form vinyl ketenes **74** that on further reaction with an alkyne produce the corresponding substituted polycyclic aromatics **75** (Scheme 17).¹⁰⁹

Scheme 17




Accordingly, the one-pot methods described here for the conversion of alkynes to cyclobutenediones have considerable synthetic potential.

1. 2. 5 Photochemical reaction of $\text{Fe}_3(\text{C}'\text{O})_{12}$ with 1-heptyne

We have also examined the possibility of preparing the coordinatively unsaturated iron carbonyl species from $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ under photochemical conditions. It was observed that the reaction of $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ and 1-heptyne in benzene under irradiation at 253.7 nm for 5-8h, gives the corresponding benzoquinones **69(a)** and **69(b)** in 55% yield after $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation (eq. **59**). Since the conversion of alkynes to benzoquinones has been already reported

using $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ and alkynes under **photolysis**,¹¹⁰ we did not pursue **further** studies on this method of conversion of alkynes to the corresponding benzoquinones.



1. 2. 6 Reaction of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ /amine reagent system with alkynes

We have also examined the possibility whether coordinatively unsaturated species such as $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$ or related species can be prepared using the $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ /*n*-butylamine reagent combination for reaction with alkynes. It was observed that the reaction of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ (6 mmol) and *n*-butylamine (2.75 mmol) with phenylacetylene (1.25 mmol), did not proceed and the alkyne remained unaffected. Here, it is of interest to note that *n*-butylamine, pyrrolidine and piperidine form only some unidentified addition complexes with the $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$.¹¹¹

1. 3 Conclusions

The iron carbonyl species $\text{HFe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}^-$, prepared using the $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5/\text{NaBH}_4$ and CH_3COOH reagent system at 25 °C, reacts with **alkynes** in THF, to give the corresponding cyclobutenediones in good yield (60-73%) after $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation.

Also, certain amines facilitate the double carbonylation reaction of alkynes using $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$. The reaction of iron carbonyl species, prepared in THF using $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ and *n*-butylamine at 25 °C, with various alkynes gives the corresponding cyclobutenediones in moderate to good yields (25-61%).

It appears that the cyclobutenediones would have formed through the reaction of alkynes with coordinatively unsaturated iron carbonyl species such as " $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}$ " or " $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_8$ " through a maleoyl iron complexes of the type 65.

1. 4 Experimental Section

1. 4. 1 General information:

Melting points reported in this thesis are uncorrected and were determined using a **Buchi-510** capillary point apparatus. Infrared spectra were recorded on **Perkin-Elmer IR** spectrometer Model JASCO FT 5300 with polystyrene as reference. ^1H NMR (200 MHz) and ^{13}C NMR (50 MHz) spectra were taken in CDCl_3 unless otherwise stated and TMS as reference ($\delta = 0$ ppm). The chemical shifts are reported in ppm on the δ scale relative to CDCl_3 (77.0 ppm) and coupling constants are reported in Hz. Elemental analyses were performed on a Perkin-Elmer elemental analyzer model-240C. The UV spectra were recorded with a JASCO model 7800 **spectrophotometer**. The photochemical reactions were carried out in a photochemical reactor (**Srinivasan-Griffin-Rayonet** type) comprising of eight UV-tubes (wave length 253.7 nm) fitted in a heavy metal enclosure. The reaction mixture was kept in quartz tube for irradiation. Analytical thin layer chromatographic tests were carried out on glass plates (3 x 10 cm) coated with 250 μm acme's silica **gel-G** or **GF₂₅₄** containing 13% calcium sulphate as binder. The spots were visualized by short exposure to iodine vapour or UV light. Chromatographic purification was conducted by column chromatography using 100-200 mesh silica gel obtained from Acme Synthetic Chemicals, India.

All glassware were pre-dried at 140 °C in an air oven for 4h, assembled hot and cooled under a stream of dry nitrogen. Unless, otherwise **mentioned**, all the operations and transformations of reagents were carried out using standard syringe, septum technique recommended for handling air sensitive organometallic compounds. Reagents prepared *in situ* in solvents were transformed using a double ended stainless steel (Aldrich) needle under a stream of nitrogen whenever required.


In all experiments, a round bottom flask of appropriate size with a side arm, a side septum, a magnetic stirring bar, a condenser and a connecting tube attached to a mercury bubbler were used. The outlet of the mercury bubbler was connected to a long tube to the atmosphere. All dry solvents and reagents (liquids) used were distilled from appropriate drying agents just before use. As a routine practice, all organic extracts were concentrated on Buchi-EL-rotary evaporator. All yields reported are isolated yields of material judged homogenous by TLC, **IR** and NMR spectroscopy.

Iron pentacarbonyl supplied by **Fluka**, Switzerland and Aldrich, USA was used. **NaBH₄** supplied by Aldrich, USA was used. Naphthalene supplied by **LOBA-Chemie**, India was sublimed before use. The **Fe₃(CO)₁₂** was prepared using a reported procedure.²⁹ The commercially available **1-heptyne**, **CH₂Cl₂**, and **CH₃COOH** were distilled and used in the experiments. The alkynes used in

the reactions were prepared following a reported procedure. Tetrahydrofuran supplied by E-Merck, India was distilled over **sodium-benzophenone** before use.

1. 4. 2 Reaction of $[\text{HFe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}]^-$ with alkynes

The $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ (2.9 g, 15 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was added drop wise for 1h to NaBH_4 (0.567 g, 15 mmol) in THF (50 mL), and stirred for 8h at 25 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. Acetic acid (2.7 g, 45 mmol) was added and stirred for 30 min. Subsequently, **1-heptyne** (0.24 g, 2.5 mmol) was added and the contents were further stirred for 12h. The metal carbonyl complex was decomposed using $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (6.8 g, 40 mmol) in acetone (25 mL). Saturated **aq.NaCl** was added and the contents were extracted with ether (100 mL). The combined organic extract was washed with brine (20 mL), dried over anhydrous MgSO_4 and concentrated. The residue was subjected to column chromatography (silica gel, **hexane/ethyl acetate**). Ethyl acetate (2%) in hexane eluted the cyclobutenedione 57 (73%, 0.275 g).⁹⁸



Yield : 56% (0.21 g)


IR(neat) : 1778 cm^{-1}

^1H NMR (CDCl_3) : δ ppm 0.82 (t, $J=7.3$, 3H), 1.27-1.40 (m, 4H), 1.70-1.83 (m, 2H), 2.81 (t, $J=7.3$ Hz, 2H), 9.20 (s, 1H)

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3) : 8 ppm 13.7, 22.1, 25.6, 27.1, 31.2, 184.8, 196.6, 199.9, 208.3

MS (EI) : m/z 152 (M^+ , 13%), 81 [$(\text{M}^+ - \text{C}_3\text{H}_{11})$, 20%]

The above procedure was followed for the conversion of other alkynes to the corresponding cyclobutenediones.




Yield : 69% (0.285 g)

IR (neat) : 1786 cm^{-1}

^1H NMR (CDCl_3) : δ ppm 0.89 (t, $J=7.3$ Hz, 3H), 1.2-2.7 (m, 8H) 2.81 (t, $J=7.2$ Hz, 2H), 9.1 (s, 1H)

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3) : 8 ppm 13.9, 25.9, 26.8, 28.9, 29.6, 31.8, 184.9, 196.7, 199.9, 208.3




Yield : 70% (0.320 g)

IR (neat) : 1788 cm^{-1}

^1H NMR (CDCl_3) : δ ppm 0.88 (t, $J=7.3$ Hz, 3H), 1.2-2.5 (m, 12H) 2.85 (t, $J=7.3$ Hz, 2H), 9.2 (s, 1H)

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3) : δ ppm 14.0, 22.6, 26.0, 27.5, 29.3, 29.7, **31.7**, 31.9, 184.8, **196.6**, **199.7**, 208.4.




Yield : 68% (0.375 g)

IR(neat) : 1774 cm^{-1}

^1H NMR (CDCl_3) : 8 ppm 0.81 (t, $J=7.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.23-2.42 (m, 16H), 2.75 (t, $J=7.4$ Hz, 2H), 9.21 (s, 1H) (Spectrum No. 1)

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3) : 8 ppm 13.9, 22.6, 25.9, 26.3, 29.1, 29.2, 29.5, 29.6, 31.8, 31.9, 198.7, 199.1, 199.4, 203.4 (Spectrum No. 2)

MS (EI) : m/z 222 (M^+ , 25%), 81 [$(\text{M}^+ - \text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{21})$, 60%]




Yield : 65% (0.240 g)

M.P : 152-153 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ (Lit.⁹⁹ 152-153 $^{\circ}\text{C}$)

IR(KBr) : 1768 cm^{-1}

^1H NMR (CDCl_3) : δ ppm 7.3-8.0 (m, 5H), 9.5 (s, 1H)

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3) : 8 ppm 128.6, 129.4, 129.5, 134.6, 178.3, 195.5, 196.0, 197.7




Yield : 60% (0.290 g)

IR(neat) : 1768, 1631 cm^{-1}

$^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) : δ ppm 0.91 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H); 1.25-1.80 (m, 6H); 2.05 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H); 2.75 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H); 6.49 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (m, 1H)

$^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (CDCl_3) : 5 ppm 13.7, 19.9, 22.1, 25.8, 26.4, 31.7, 119.4, 146.6, 190.5, 195.7, 197.8, 198.3

MS (EI) : m/z 192 (M^+ , 12%), 121 [$(\text{M}^+ - \text{CH}_2(\text{CH}_2)_3)$, 20%].




Yield : 61% (0.405 g)

IR (neat) : 3450, 1788 cm^{-1}

¹H NMR (CDCl₃) : 5 ppm 0.88 (t, J= 6.8 Hz, 3H); 0.90 (t, J= 6.9 Hz, 3H);
1.28-1.37 (m, 14H); 2.89 (t, J= 7.2 Hz, 2H); 4.98 (t, J= 6.0
Hz, 3H) (Spectrum No. 3)

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) : 8 ppm 13.8, 13.9, 22.2, 22.4, 24.9, 26.0, 26.9, 28.9, 31.5,
31.8, 35.0, 68.6, 197.3, 198.4, 201.8, 202.7 (Spectrum No.
4)

MS (EI) : m/z 266 (M⁺+1, 5%), 195 [(M⁺+1)-C₃H₁₁, 16%]



Yield : 63% (0.428 g)

IR(neat) : 3476, 1786 cm⁻¹

¹H NMR (CDCl₃) : 8 ppm 0.86 (t, J= 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.25-1.78 (m, 6H), 1.99 (s,
3H), 2.3 (s, 1H), 2.8 (t, J= 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.26-7.65 (m, 5H)


¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) : 8 ppm 13.7, 22.1, 26.9, 28.4, 29.3, 31.8, 74.9, 124.9,
125.3, 128.3, 128.8, 196.4, 198.5, 201.2, 202.9

MS (EI) : m/z 272 (M⁺, 4%), 121 (M⁺-(Ph-C(OH)CH₃)⁺, 60%)

HRMS : m/z (M^+), calcd. 272.141245, found 272.141843.

1.4.3 Reaction of $\text{NaHFe(CO)}_4/\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{CH}_3\text{COOH}$ (excess) reagent system with 1-heptyne

The NaHFe(CO)_4 (6 mmol) in THF (30 mL) [prepared by acidification of $\text{Na}_2\text{Fe(CO)}_4$ (6 mmol) with CH_3COOH (6 mmol, 0.39 g)] was treated with 5 mL of CH_2Cl_2 under nitrogen atmosphere. After 1h, CH_3COOH (4 equiv.) (24 mmol, 1.6g) was added and stirring continued for 30min. Subsequently, 1-heptyne (2.5 mmol, 0.24 g) was added and the contents were stirred further for 12h. The metal carbonyl complex was decomposed using $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (20 mmol, 3.4 g) in acetone (15 mL). Saturated aq. NaCl was added and the resulting solution was extracted with ether (100 mL), washed with brine (15 mL), dried over anhydrous MgSO_4 and concentrated. The residue was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel. Ethyl acetate (2%) in hexane eluted the cyclobutenedione 57 (33%).⁹⁸



Yield

33%

The spectral data were identical to the data of sample obtained in previous experiments.

1. 4. 4 Preparation of $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$

A reported procedure involving MnO_2 oxidation of $\text{NaHFe}(\text{CO})_4$ was followed.²⁹ The $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ (30g, 0.015 mol) and methanol (85 mL) are placed in a three necked 1 lit. flask fitted with a stirrer, nitrogen inlet and a refluxing condenser. The reaction mixture was treated with a solution of 22.5g of NaOH in 45 mL of H_2O . The mixture was stirred for about 30 min. and then treated with 70 mL of saturated NH_4Cl solution.


Meanwhile the MnO_2 was prepared by cautiously adding 32.3g of KMnO_4 in water (150 mL) with of 95% ethanol (50 mL) under stirring in a large beaker covered with a watch glass. Stirring was continued further to get a brown precipitate of MnO_2 .

The suspension of MnO_2 thus obtained was added to the $\text{HFe}(\text{CO})_4^-/\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ solution. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2-3h. The excess MnO_2 was then decomposed by gradual addition of a solution of 20g of iron(II) sulphate 7-hydrate dissolved in $\text{dil.H}_2\text{SO}_4$ (about 2N, 125 mL). The mixture was then treated with 1:1 H_2SO_4 (150 mL). The black precipitate of $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ is then filtered and washed successively with hot $\text{dil.H}_2\text{SO}_4$ (~2N, 200 mL), 100 mL of

95% ethanol (100 mL) and pentane (75 mL) and dried under vacuum. Yield **18g** (70%).

1.4.5 Reaction of $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ /amine or amide reagent system with alkynes

The $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ (3.02 g, 6 mmol) and DMF (0.130g, 2.75 mmol) in THF (50 mL) were stirred under N_2 for 30 min. at 25 °C. 1-Heptyne (0.120 g, 1.25 mmol) was added and the stirring was continued further for 12h. The metal carbonyl complex was decomposed using $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (1.7g, 10 mmol) in acetone (10 mL). Saturated aq. NaCl (25 mL) was added, and the resulting solution was extracted with ether (100 mL), washed with brine (20 mL), dried over anhydrous MgSO_4 and concentrated. The residue was subjected to column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ethyl acetate). Ethyl acetate (2%) in hexane eluted the cyclobutenedione **57** (0.058g, 31%).^{98,107}




Yield :31%

The above experimental procedure was followed for the reaction of $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ with other amines and alkynes. The results are summarized in the Table 2. The spectral data of the products were identical to the data obtained in previous experiments.

1.4.6 Reaction of $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}/\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_2$ reagent system with alkynes

The $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ (3.02 g, 6 mmol) and *n*-butylamine (0.201 g, 2.75 mmol) in THF (50 mL) were stirred under N_2 for 30 min. at 25 °C. Diphenylacetylene (0.225 g, 1.25 mmol) was added and the stirring was continued for further 12h. The metal carbonyl complex was decomposed using $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (1.7g, 10 mmol) in acetone (10 mL). Saturated aq.NaCl (25 mL) was added, and the resulting solution was extracted with ether (100 mL), washed with brine (20 mL), dried over anhydrous MgSO_4 and concentrated. The residue was subjected to column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ethyl acetate). Ethyl acetate (2%) in hexane eluted the cyclobutenedione 36 (45%).⁹⁸



Yield : 45%

M.P. : 95-96 °C (Lit.⁹⁸ M.P. 97 °C)


IR(KBr) : 1780 cm⁻¹

¹H NMR (CDCl₃) : 6 ppm 7.45-7.68 (m, 6H), 8.14 (m, 4H) (Spectrum No. 5)

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) : 5 ppm 128.7, 129.7, 131.2, 134.6, 187.4, 196.1 (Spectrum No. 6)

MS (EI) : m/z 235 (M⁺+1, 12%), 179 [(M⁺+1)-(Ph₂C₂+1), 100%]
(Spectrum No. 7)

The above procedure was followed for the conversion of other alkynes to the corresponding cyclobutenediones. The results are summarized below and also in Table 3.



Yield : 28%


IR (neat) : 1774 cm⁻¹

^1H NMR (CDCl_3) : 8 ppm 0.35 (s, 9H); 0.92 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H); 1.17-1.67 (m, 6H); 2.87(t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H) (Spectrum No. 8)

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3) : 8 ppm -2.1, 13.7, 22.2, 26.5, 29.0, 31.8, 200.1, 201.4, 207.7, 211.3 (Spectrum No. 9)

MS (EI) : m/z : 224 (M^+ , 10%), 154 [$(\text{M}^+ - \text{CH}_2(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{CH}_3)$, 100%], 73 [$(\text{SiMe}_3)^+$, 60%]

HRMS : m/z (M^+) calcd. 224.123258, found: 224.123138.



Yield : 25%


IR(neat) : 1774 cm^{-1}

^1H NMR (CDCl_3) : 8 ppm 0.35 (s, 9H); 0.87 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H); 1.20-1.67 (m, 12H); 2.79 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H)

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3) : δ ppm -2.1, 14.0, 22.5, 26.8, 29.0, 29.6, 31.5, 31.7, 200.0, 207.6, 211.2

1.4. 7 Photochemical reaction of $\text{Fe}_3(\text{C O})_{12}$ with 1-heptyne

A solution of $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ (6 mmol, 3.02 g) and 1-heptyne (0.240 g, 2.5 mmol) in benzene (50 mL) was irradiated at 253.7 nm for 6h under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was poured into $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (10 mmol, 1.7g) in acetone (20 mL). Saturated aq. NaCl (30 mL) was added and the resulting solution was extracted with ether (2 x 20 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (10 mL), dried over anhydrous MgSO_4 and concentrated. The residue was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel. Hexane eluted the benzoquinones **69(a)** (70 %) and **69(b)** (30%).¹¹⁰ The percentage composition of isomer (a) and (b) were calculated from the ^1H NMR signal intensities.¹¹⁰



Yield : 55%

IR (neat) : 1657 cm^{-1}

^1H NMR (CDCl_3) : δ ppm 0.89 (t, $J \approx 6.8$ Hz, 12 H for **69a** and **69b**), 1.16-2.46 (m, 32 H for **69a** and **69b**), 6.50 (s, 2H for **69a**), 6.52 (s, 2H for **69b**).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3) : δ ppm 13.6, 22.2, 27.4, 28.5, 29.0, 31.3, 131.9, 132.4, 149.2, 149.6, 187.4, 187.7.

1.5 References:

1. L. Mond, C. Langer and F. Quincke, *J. Chem. Soc*, **1890**, 749.
2. a) G. Wilkinson, F. G. A. Stone and E. W. Abel (Eds) *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, New York, **1982**, Vol. 1-8. b) I. Wender and P. Pino, (Eds), *Organic Synthesis Via Metal Carbonyls*, Wiley Interscience Publication, New York, **1977**, Vol. 1 & 2.
3. E. A. K. V. Gustorf, F. W. Grevels and I. Fischler, (Eds) *The Organic Chemistry of Iron*, Academic Press, New York, Vol. 1, **1978** and Vol. 2, **1981**.
4. N. E. Schore, *Chem. Rev.*, **1988**, **88**, **1081**.
5. a) S. G. Davies, *Organotransition Metal Chemistry: Applications to Organic Synthesis* Pergamon Press, **Oxford**, **1986** Vol. 2. b) L. S.

- Libeskind**, S. L. Baysdon, M. S. South, S. Iyer and J. P. Leeds, *Tetrahedron* **1985**, *41*, 5839.
6. L.S. **Libeskind**, S.L.Baysdon, M.S. Souht, S. Iyer and J.P. Leeds, *Tetrahedron* **1985**, *41*, **5839**
 7. a) J.P. **Collman**, L.S. Hegedus, J.R. Norton and **R.** G. Finke, (Eds), *Principles and Applications of Organotransition Metal Chemistry*, University Science Books, Mill Valley, California, **1987**. b) K. **Khumtaveeporn** and H. Alper, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **1995**, *28*, 414. c) J. J. Brunet, *Chem. Rev.*, **1990**, *90*, 1041.
 8. G. Wilkinson, F. G. A. Stone and E. W. Abel (Eds) *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, **Pergamon** Press, Oxford, New York, **1982**, **Vol.4**
 9. S. Matsuda, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1972**, *57*, 807.
 10. H. Alper and J. T. **Edward**, *Canad. J. Chem.*, **1970**, *48*, 1543.
 11. A. Dondoni and G. Barbara, *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* **1975**, 761.
 12. **H.** Alper and D.D. Roches, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1977**, **4155**.
 13. C.E. **Coffey**, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1961**, *83*, 1623.
 14. a) D.V. Banthorpe, H. Fitton and J. Lewis, *J. Chem. Soc, Perkin I*, **1973**, **2051**. b) H. Alper and T. Edward, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1968**, *141*, **411**. c) J.E. Arnet and R. Pettit, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1961**, *83*, 2954.

15. A. J. Pearson and R. A. Dubbert, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, **1991**, 202.
16. a) M. S. **Sigman**, C. E. **Kerr** and B. E. Eaton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1993**, 115, 7545. b) M. S. Sigman, B. E. Eaton, J. D. Heise and C. P. Kubiak, *Organometallics* **1996**, 15, 2829.
17. H. B. Chin, and R. Bau, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1973**, 95, 5068.
18. A. M. Horton, D. M. Hollinshead and S. V. Ley, *Tetrahedron* **1984**, 40, 1737.
19. R. Victor and R. B. Shoshan and S. **Sarel**, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1973**, 4211.
20. C.P Casey, C.R.Cyr and R.A.Boggs, *Synth. Methods Inorg. Chem.* **1973**, 95, 5430.
21. **E.R.F.** Gesing, *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* **1982**, 426.
22. **R.** Noyori, Y. **Hayakawa**, H. Takaya, S. Murai, R. **Kobayashi** and N. **Sonoda**, *J. Am. Chem. Soc* **1978**, 100, 1759.
23. R. Noyori and Y. Hayakawa, *Tetrahedron* **1985**, 41, 5879.
24. A. **Efraty**, *Chem. Rev.*, **1977**, 77, 693.
25. A. Efraty, *Chem. Rev.*, **1977**, 77, 694.
26. G. Wilkinson, F. G. A. Stone and E. W. Abel (Eds.) '*Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*', Pergamon Press, Oxford, New York, **1982**, 4, 260.
27. **M.** Poliakoff and J. J. Turner, *J. Chem. Soc. (A)*. **1971**, 2403

28. M. Wrighton, *Chem. Rev.*, **1974**, *74*, 401.
29. R. B. King and F. G. A. Stone, *Inorg. Synth.*, **1963**, *7*, 193.
30. H. G. Cutforth and P. W. Selwood, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1943**, *65*, 2414.
31. C. W. Bird and E. M. Hollins, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **1965**, *4*, 245.
32. a) V. V. Kane, J. R. C. Light and M. C. Whiting, *Polyhedron* **1985**, *4*, 533. b) J. C. Hileman, *Prep. Inorg. React.* **1964**, 408.
33. A. F. Clifford and A. K. Mukherjee, *Inorg. Chem.*, **1963**, *2*, 151.
34. F. A. Cotton and B. F. G. Johnson, *Inorg. Chem.*, **1967**, *6*, 2113.
35. W. McFarlane and G. Wilkinson, *Inorg. Synth.*, **1966**, *8*, 181.
36. W. Jetz and W. A. G. Graham, *Inorg. Chem.*, **1971**, *10*, 4
37. W. Hieber, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, **1932**, *204*, 165.
38. G. Wilkinson, F. G. A. Stone and E. W. Abel (Eds) *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, New York, **1982**, Vol.4
39. a) E. H. Braye and W. Hubel, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **1965**, *3*, 25. b) M. F. Neumann and D. Martina, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1977**, 2293. c) J. Klimes and E. Weiss, *Chem. Ber.* **1982**, 775, 2175.
40. V. Usieli, R. Victor and S. Sarel, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1976**, 2705.
41. C. Wilcox and R. Breslow, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1980**, *21*, 3241.
42. R. B. King and C. W. Eavenson, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **1972**, *42*, C95.

43. H. D. Kaesz, R. B. King, T. A. Manuel, L. D. Nichols and F. G. A. Stone, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1960**, 82, 4749.
44. R. B. King and C. A. Harmon, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **1975**, 88, 93.
45. E. J. Corey and G. Moinet, *J Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1973**, 95, 7185.
46. a) A. J. Birch, H. Fitton, R. Mason, G. B. Robertson and J. E. Stangroom, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, **1966**, 613. b) A. J. Birch and H. Fitton, *J. Chem. Soc. (C)*., **1966**, 2060.
47. J.A. Gladysz and W. Tam, *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, 43, 2279.
48. J. P. Collman, R. G. Finke, J.N. Cawse and J.I. Brauman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1977,99,2515.
49. P. Krumholz and H.M.A. Stettiner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1949**, 71, 3035.
50. M.P. Cooke. Jr, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1970**, 92, 6080.
51. J.P. Collman, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **1975**, 8, 342.
52. A. Devasagayaraj and M. Periasamy, *Trans. Met. Chem.* **1991**,16, 503.
53. J.Y. Merour, J.L. Rouston, C. Charrier, J. Collin and J. Benaim, *J. Organomet. Chem.*., **1973**, 51, C24.
54. J.P. Collman and N.W. Hoffman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1973**, 95, 2689.
55. R.L. Keiter, E.A. Keiter, K.H. Hecker and C.A. Boecker, *Organometallics* **1988**, 7, 2466.
56. F. Wada and T. Matsuda, *J. Organomet. Chem.*., **1973**, 61, 365.

57. G.F. Cainelli, M. Panunzio and A.U. Ronchi, *J. Chem.Soc, Perkin. Trans 1*, **1975**, 1273.
58. W. Heiber, F. Leutert and E.Z. Schmidt, *Z Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, **1932**, 204, 145.
59. R. Noyori, I. Umeda and T.Ishigami, *J. Org. Chem.* **1912**, 37, 1542.
60. Y. Takegami, Y. Watanabe, H. Masada and I. Kanaya, *Bull. Chem. Soc, Jpn.* **1967**, 40, 1456.
61. Y. Watanabe, S.C. Shim, H. Uchida, T. Mitsudo and Y. Takegami, *Tetrahedron* **1979**,**35**, 1433.
62. J.J. Brunet, R. Chauvin, F.B. Kindela and D. Neibecker, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1994**, **35**, 8801.
63. M. Yamashita, Y. Watanabe, T. Mitsudo and Y. Takegami, *Bull. Chem. Soc, Jpn.* **1978**, 51, 835.
64. J.J. Brunet, F.B. Kindela and D. Neibecker, *Synth. Commun.*, **1989**, 19, 1923.
65. J.P. Collman, R.G. Finke, P.L. Matlock, R. Wahren, R.G. Komoto and J.I.Brauman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*, **1978**, **100**, 1119.
66. U. Radhakrishnan, Ph.D Thesis, *University of Hyderabad*, **1996**.
67. H. A. Hodali, C. Arcus and D. F. Shriver, *Inorg. Synth.*, **1980**, 20, 218.
68. G. P. Boldrini, A. U. Ronchi and M. Panunzio, *J. Organomet.Chem.*, **1979**, **171**, 85.

69. **K. H. Whitmire** and T. R. Lee, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1985, 282, 95.
70. K. Farmery, M. Kilner, R. Greatrex and N. N. Greenwood, *J. Chem. Soc. (A)*, 1969, 2339.
71. F. **Hugues**, A. K. Smith, Y.B. Taarit and J. M. Basset, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, 1980, 68.
72. S. Sato and **T. Ohmori**, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, 1990, **1032**.
73. H. A. Hodali, D.F. Shriver and C. A. **Ammlung**, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1978, *100*, 5239.
74. a) J. Suades and R. Mathieu, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1986, 312, 335. b) M. Lourdichi and R. Mathieu, *Organometallics* **1986**, *5*, 2067.
75. I. Wender and P. Pino, (Eds), *Organic Synthesis Via Metal Carbonyls*, Wiley Interscience Publication, New York, 1977, Vol. 2.
76. a) J. M. Landesberg, L. Katz and C. **Olsen**, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1911, 37, 930. b) H. **Alper**, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1972, 37, 3972. c) H. **Alper**, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **1973**, *50*, 209.
77. W. Hieber and H. Vetter, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 1933, 212, 145.
78. H. W. **Sternberg**, R. Markby and I. Wender, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1957, 79, 6116.
79. I. Wender and P. Pino, (Eds), *Organic Syntheses via Metal Carbonyls*, Wiley Interscience Publication, New York, 1977, Vol. 2.
80. R. **Hoffmann**, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, **1982**, *21*, 711.

81. M. Poliakoff and J. J. Turner, *J. Chem. Soc, Dalton* **1974**, 2276.
82. a) P. S. **Braterman** and W. J. Wallace, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **1971**, 30,
C17. b) A. J. Pearson and R. A. Dubbert, *Organometallics* **1994**, 13, 1656.
83. M. Poliakoff, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **1978**, 7, 527 and the references cited therein
84. A. J. Ouderkirk, P. Werner, N. L. Schultz and E. **Weitz**, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1983**, 105, 3354.
85. E. H. Schubert and R. K. Sheline, *Inorg. Chem.*, **1966**, 5, 1071.
86. a) M. A. D. **Paoli**, S. M. de Oliveira, E. B. Saitovitch and D. Guenzburger, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **1984**, 80, 730. b) M. S. Darsillo, H. D. **Gafney** and M. S. Paquette, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1987**, 109, 3275.
87. J. Elzinga and H. Hogeveen, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, **1977**, 705.
88. **R. L. Sweany**, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1981**, 103, 2410.
89. K. H. **Whitemire**, T. R. Lee and E. S. Lewis, *Organometallics* **1986**, 5, 987.
90. A. J. Pearson, R. J. Shively and R. A. Dubbert, *Organometallics* **1992**, 11, 4096.
91. **R. L. Jackson** and M. R. **Trusheim**, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1982**, 104, 6590.
92. J. D. Black and P. S. Braterman, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **1975**, 55, C7.
93. **K. Narasaka** and T. **Shibata**, *Chem. Lett.*, **1994**, 315.

94. M. Periasmy, U. Radhakrishnan, J. J. Brunet, R. Chauvin and A. W. **Elzaizi**, *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.*, **1996**, 1499.
95. a) **M. Periasamy**, U. Radhakrishnan, C. Rameshkumar and J. J. Brunet, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1997**, **38**, **1623**. b) M. Periasamy, C. Rameshkumar, U. Radhakrishnan and J. J. Brunet, *J. Org. Chem.*, **1998**, **63**, 4930.
96. J. R. Case and M. C. Whiting, *J. Chem. Soc*, **1960**, **4632**.
97. M. Periasamy, C. Rameshkumar and U. Radhakrishnan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997,55,7229.
98. M.S.A. Parker and C.J. Rizzo, *Synth. Commun.*, 1995,25, **2781**.
99. L. S. Liebeskind and S. L. Baysdon, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1984**, **25**, **1747**.
100. a) S. **Aime**, L. Milone, E. **Sappa**, A. Tiripicchio and A. M. M. **Lanfredi**. *J. Chem.Soc.,Dalton* **1979**, 1664. b) H.W. **Sternberg**, R.A. Friedel, R. Markby and I. **Wender.**, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*, **1956**, **78**, 3621.
101. G. Wilkinson, F. G. A. Stone and E. W. Abel (Eds.) '**Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry**', **Pergamon** Press, Oxford, New York, **1982**, **4**, 554.
102. F. W. Grevels, D. **Schulz**, E. **K. V. Gustorf** and D.St.P. Bunbury, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **1975**, **91**, **341**.
103. a) T. **Sugihara**, M. **Yamada**, H. Ban, M. Yamaguchi and C. **Kaneko**, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1997**, **36**, 2801. b) T. Rajesh and M. Periasamy, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1998**, **39**, 117.

104. H. Behrens and H. Wakamatsu, *Z Anorg. Allgem. Chem.*, **1963**, 320, 30.
105. W. Hieber, J. **Sedlmeier** and R. Werner, *Chem. Ber.*, **1957**, 90, 278.
106. W. Hieber and J. G. Floss, *Chem. Ber.*, **1957**, 90, 1617.
107. C. Rameshkumar and M. Periasamy *Tetrahedron Lett.*, (in press).
108. a) L. S. Liebeskind, R. W. Fengel, K. R. Wirtz and T. T. Shawe, *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, 55, 2482. b) L. S. Liebeskind *Tetrahedron* **1989**, 45, 3053. c) R. **Tiedemann**, P. **Turnbull** and H. W. Moore, *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, 64, 4030. d) P. Wipf and C. R. Hopkins, *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, 64, 6881. E) M. **Zora**, Y. Li and J.W. Herndon, *Organometallics*, **1999**, 18, 4429.
109. R.L. Danheiser, R. G. Brisbois, J.J. Kowalczyk and R.F. Miller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1990**, 112, 3093 and the references cited therein.
110. K. **Maruyama**, T. Shio and Y. **Yamamoto**, *Bull. Chem. Soc, Jpn.* **1979**, 52, 1877.
111. a) W. F Edgell, M. T Yang, B. J. Bulkin, R. Bayer and N. Koizumi. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1965**, 87, 3080. b) W. F Edgell and B. J. Bulkin. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **196**, **88**, 4839.
112. a) E. V. **Dehmlow** and M. Lissel, *Tetrahedron* **1981**, 37, 1653. b) L. Brandsma, and H. D. Verkruijsse, *Synthesis of Acetylenes, Allenes and Cumulenes*, Elsevier, New York. **1981**.


Chapter 2

Studies on Synthetic Applications of Iron Carbonyl Complexes Prepared Using Alkynes


2.1 Introduction

As discussed in Chapter 1, the double carbonylation reactions of alkynes would most probably go through the **intermediacy** of maleoyl iron complexes (Scheme 12, Chapter 1). Such maleoyl iron complexes undergo a variety of interesting reactions under different **conditions**.¹ The results of investigations carried out to exploit such intermediates for further synthetic applications are discussed here. It may be of interest to briefly review the reports on the preparation of such iron carbonyl complexes and their synthetic applications.

In 1953, Reppe first reported the iron carbonyl acetylene complexes of the formula $(RC\equiv CR)H_2Fe_2(CO)_8$.² Later, **Sternberg *et al*** proposed the structure 1 for this complex (eq. 1).³ The complex 1 contains two acidic hydrogens, which can be benzoylated and methylated. An improved method for the preparation of the complex was also reported.³




In 1961, Hock *et al* studied the organoiron complexes first reported by Reppe. The structure of the complex 2 isolated in the reaction of but-2-yne with **aq.alkaline** solution of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ has been determined by X-ray crystallographic data (eq. 2).⁴




Several such ferrole iron carbonyl complexes of the type 2 have been prepared using alkynes and iron carbonyls.⁵ Also, it has been demonstrated that both the hydroxy ferrole and maleoyl iron complexes are structurally and chemically related.⁶ A brief review of the reports on the methods of preparations and synthetic applications of the ferrole iron carbonyl complexes will be helpful for the discussion.

2.1.1 Methods of preparation of ferrole iron carbonyl derivatives


The ferrole iron carbonyl derivatives can be prepared using $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$. As outlined in the introductory section in chapter 1, the $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ on reaction with 2,4-hexadiyne in toluene at refluxing temperature gives a **trimetallic** product 3 (eq. 20, Chapter 1).⁷




Also, at elevated temperatures, thiophene and $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ undergo a novel reaction resulting in the removal of the sulphur atom to give the iron tricarbonyl complex **4** (eq. 21, Chapter 1).⁸




Several other such complexes have been also reported. For example, 1,7-cyclododecadiyne reacts with $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ to give the iron carbonyl complexes, **5** (major product) and **6** (minor product) (also see eq. 8, Chapter 1).⁹




Reaction between **3-methyl-3-chloro-4-methylenecyclobut-1-ene** and **$\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$** gives the **2,3-dimethylferrole** complex **7** as a major product, in addition to small amounts of side products (eq. 3).¹⁰




Also, the reaction of **$\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$** with acetylene, conducted at **110 °C** and **9000 atm**, gave the ferrole iron complex **8** (eq. 4).¹¹




A direct reaction between **1,4-dilithio-1,2,3,4-tetraphenylbutadiene** and **$\text{Br}_2\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$** gave the tetraphenylferrole iron complex **9** (eq. 5).¹²




Reactions of alkynes with the benzalacetone iron complex $[\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CH}=\text{CHC}(\text{O})\text{CH}_3]\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3$ **10** in boiling benzene gives the corresponding tricarbonyl-ferrole-iron tricarbonyl derivatives **11** (eq. 6).¹³



Also, U.V irradiation of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ and dimethyl-1,3-diyne in THF gave the maleoyl iron complex **12** derivative (eq. 7). Its structure has been determined by X-ray crystallographic data.¹⁴




An unusual product of the formula $[(\text{Me}_3\text{SiO})_2\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3]_2$ **13** has been obtained in the reaction of Me_3SiI with $\text{Na}_2\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$ in THF (eq. 8).¹⁵¹⁶ The molecular structure of the complex **13** has been assigned on the basis of spectroscopic data.



2. 1. 2 Synthetic applications of ferrole iron complexes


The hydroxy ferrole iron complex **2** undergoes interesting organic transformations under different conditions. A variety of synthetically useful organic compounds such as cyclobutenediones **14**, maleic acids **15** and maleoyl iron complexes **16** were obtained from the complex **2** (Scheme **1**).¹⁷

Scheme 1




The formation of these products has been rationalized as outlined in Scheme 2. The cyclobutenedione **14** may have formed via a bisketene **17**. The maleic acid **15** could have also formed via the bisketene **17** in alkaline solution. The **maleoyl** iron complexes **16** would result from **complexation** of **17** with **Fe(CO)₄** (Scheme 2).¹⁸


Scheme 2



Photochemical reaction of the hydroxy ferrole iron derivative **18** with diphenyl diazomethane involves insertion of diphenylcarbene into a carbon-iron bond without destruction of complex **18**. The structures of the products **19** and **20** were assigned after X-ray crystallographic data analysis (eq. 9)^{19,20}




After U.V irradiation of **18** in boiling SO_2 for one day, an orange-red complex **21** was formed in 55% yield (eq. 10). Interestingly, in complex **21**, one of the CO moieties of the starting complex is replaced by SO_2 .²¹




The enantiomeric hydroxy ferrole iron pairs **22** and **23** were separated using chiral camphorsulphonyl chloride (Scheme 3).²² Alkaline hydrolysis of the camphorsulphonate gave the **enantiomers** **22** and **23**. The enantiomers **22** and **23** racemize at elevated temperatures by an intramolecular interchange of the two $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3$ groups²² through an activation energy barrier of 29 k.cal.²²

Scheme 3




A few more applications of the ferrole iron carbonyl derivatives are summarized in Scheme 4.¹⁷

Scheme 4




2. 1. 3 Synthetic applications of maleoyl iron complexes

The reduction of maleoyl iron complexes 24, prepared directly from alkyne and $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ in hydrocarbon solvents,^{23b} with Zn/HOAc followed by HNO_3 treatment gives the corresponding succinic acid derivatives 25 (eq. 11).^{23a}




However, the phenylsubstituted maleoyl iron complex 26 upon Zn/HOAc reduction and $\text{I}_2/\text{NaHCO}_3$ treatment affords the corresponding hydrated trione 27 (eq. 12).²⁴




Cyclobutenediones are useful starting materials for the preparation of polycyclic aromatic compounds through ring expansion reactions.¹ For example, benzocyclobutenediones on reaction with Fe(CO)_5 readily gives the phthalyl iron


derivative 28 that on further reaction with alkynes affords the corresponding naphthoquinones 29 (eq. 13).²⁵




In the above reaction, the black, insoluble complex 30 is the precursor to the naphthoquinone product 29. This presumption was confirmed by obtaining the corresponding hydroquinone diacetate 31, when acetic anhydride was added to the above reaction mixture (eq. 14).²⁶



Also, simple maleoyl iron complexes **32**, prepared from their respective cyclobutenediones and metal carbonyls, followed by subsequent reaction with an **alkyne** gives the corresponding benzoquinones **33** (eq. 15).²⁷




Here, the intermediate of the type **34** has been postulated to account for the quinone formation. The reaction occurs by insertion of an alkyne to the maleoyl iron complex **32**, giving metallacycle **34** which then undergoes reductive elimination to give the corresponding benzoquinone **33** (eq. 16).²⁷



It may be of interest to note here that the maleoyl nickel complex **35** also undergoes interesting transformations under different conditions to give useful organic compounds (Scheme **5**).^{28,29}

Scheme 5




As we have a simple method of preparation of maleoyl iron complexes *in situ* using alkynes and iron **carbonyls** as described in Chapter 1, we have examined the synthetic applications of these complexes. The results are described in this chapter.

2. 2 Results and Discussion

2. 2. 1 Reaction of alkyne-iron carbonyl complexes prepared using 1-alkynes, $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$, NaBH_4 and CH_3COOH with 1-alkynes in the presence of amines

Recently, Yamaguchi *et al* observed that certain primary amines facilitate the Pauson-Khand reaction of alkyne- $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_6$ complexes under ambient conditions (Scheme 6).³⁰


Scheme 6



Also, it was found in this laboratory that certain amines facilitate the reaction of $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_6$ -alkyne complexes, prepared *in situ* using the cobalt carbonyl species generated by the reagent system $\text{CoBr}_2/\text{Zn}/\text{CO}$, with olefins to give the corresponding cyclopentenones 41 under ambient conditions.⁴¹ The transformation may be visualized by the mechanistic pathway shown in Scheme 7. The amine may induce the removal of one of the CO from the alkyne-cobalt complex to give the coordinatively unsaturated complex 40, which on further

reaction with **olefin** gives the corresponding cyclopentenone **41a** and **41b** (Scheme 7).³¹


Scheme 7



This interesting effect of amines on alkyne-cobalt complexes prompted us to examine the effect of various amines on the **alkyne-iron** complex **42**, that has been proposed as the intermediate in the conversion of alkynes to cyclobutenediones described in Chapter 1.

We have examined the effect of amines on the reaction of the alkyne-iron complexes **42** formed *in situ* in the reaction of **1-alkynes**, Fe(CO)_5 , NaBH_4 and CH_3COOH with an **alkyne** or olefin. Whereas the olefins such as norbornene and cyclopentene are not reactive towards the alkyne-iron complex **42**, prepared *in situ* in THF, the 1-alkynes react in the presence of amines (4 mole equiv.), to give the corresponding disubstituted benzoquinones **43** in moderate to good yields (45-61%) after $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation (Scheme 8). Traces of the corresponding cyclobutene-diones (2-5%) and cyclic imides (5-10%, see later in Section 2. 2. 3) were also obtained.

Scheme 8



The results are summarized in Table 1. It was also observed that the amine is necessary for this transformation. Only the corresponding cyclobutenediones were isolated without using amine.³² Amines such as *n*-butyl-

amine, pyrrolidine, piperidine and **benzylamine** are used for this transformation. Also, it was found that in the presence of *n*-butylamine the conversion is better (Table 1).

The above transformation can be rationalized by the mechanism shown in Scheme 9. The amine may remove one of the iron carbonyl moiety from the **alkyne-iron** complex 42 to give iron complex intermediate of type 44. Further reaction of 44 with another equiv. of 1-alkyne could give the intermediate 45. Oxidation of 45 may then produce the benzoquinones 43. It is interesting here to note that the intermediate complexes of the type 45 have been reported in the reaction between alkynes and iron carbonyl reagents under certain conditions.³³

Scheme 9







Table 1: Reaction of alkyne-iron complexes prepared using **1-alkynes**, $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$, NaBH_4 and CH_3COOH with **1-alkynes** in the presence of amines

S.No	Alkyne	Product ^a		Yield ^b (%)
	$\text{R}-\text{C}\equiv\text{C}-\text{H}$	 (a)	 (b)	
1.	$\text{R} = \text{C}_5\text{H}_{11}$	46a (60%)	46b (40%)	60
2.	$\text{R} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_5$	47a (52%)	47b (48%)	45
3.	$\text{R} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_{13}$	48a (65%)	48b (35%)	61
4.	$\text{R} = \text{C}_8\text{H}_{17}$	49a (71%)	49b (39%)	51

a) Products were identified from the spectral data IR, ^{13}C NMR, and ^1H NMR. For the compounds **47a**, **47b** and **48a**, **48b** the spectral data show 1:1 correspondence with the reported data.³⁴ The percentage compositions of isomers (a) and (b) were calculated from the ^1H NMR signal intensities and compared with the reported data.³⁴

b) Yields are of products isolated by column chromatography and based on the amount of alkynes used.







Attempts were also made to prepare mixed benzoquinones through sequential addition of two different 1-alkynes. Unfortunately, only a complex mixture of the corresponding benzoquinones were isolated in these experiments (eq. 17).



2.2.2 Reaction of **alkyne-iron** carbonyl complexes prepared using disubstituted alkynes, **Fe(CO)₅**, **NaBH₄** and **CH₃COOH** with disubstituted alkynes in the presence of amines

The alkyne-iron complexes, prepared using diphenylacetylene and propargyl alcohol derivatives, react with ***n*-butylamine** and one more equiv. of alkynes to give the corresponding cyclic **imide** derivatives 50 besides traces of cyclobutenediones (2-5%) after **CuCl₂·2H₂O** oxidation (Scheme 10). The results are summarized in Table 2. The corresponding benzoquinones were not formed


Table 2. Reaction of alkyne-iron complexes prepared using disubstituted alkynes, $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$, NaBH_4 and CH_3COOH with disubstituted alkynes in the presence of amines

S.No	Alkyne	Product ^a	Yield ^b
1.	$\text{Ph} \equiv \text{Ph}$	 52	^c 25%
2.		 53	^c 36%
3.		 54	^c 28%
4.	$\text{Ph} \equiv \text{SiMe}_3$	 55	^d 46%

a) Products were identified from the spectral data IR, ^{13}C NMR, ^1H NMR, Mass and elemental analysis. The spectral data are comparable with the reported data for similar derivatives.^{3*} b) Yields are of products isolated by column chromatography and based on the amount of alkynes used. c) Stereochemistry of products 52-54 assigned on the basis of ^1H NMR data. These data are comparable with the data reported for similar derivatives." d) M.P. 87 °C, (lit.³⁸ M.P. 87-88 °C).

in these cases. Presumably, the disubstituted alkynes may pose steric difficulties for **further** reaction with another alkyne.

Scheme 10




The formation of cyclic imides **50** can be explained by the mechanism shown in Scheme 11. The reaction of **alkyne-iron** complexes of diphenylacetylene and propargyl alcohol derivatives, with *n*-butylamine may take a different course to give the intermediate complex of the type **51**, along with hydrido iron carbonyl species. These hydrido species may reduce the double bond of **51** to give the cyclic **imide** **50**.³⁵

The results are summarized in Table 2. We have also observed that the use of other amines such as pyrrolidine and triethylamine gave only the corresponding cyclobutenediones after $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation. It appears that the

primary amines and the hydrido iron carbonyl species are necessary for the formation of cyclic **imides** (Scheme 11).

Scheme 11




Here, it is of interest to note that the hydrido iron carbonyl species “H₂Fe(CO)₄” is unstable and highly reactive. It was proposed in Reppe's hydroformylation reactions that the H₂Fe(CO)₄ species hydrometallate double bonds.^{35a} Also, it is well-known that the hydrido iron carbonyls are useful in conjugate reduction of α,β-unsaturated carbonyl compounds.^{35b}

The cyclic imides obtained in this transformation (Scheme 11) were identified from the spectral data (IR, ¹³C NMR, ¹H NMR, and Mass) and elemental analysis. These data are comparable with the data reported for similar

compounds.³⁶ Stereochemistry of products 52-54 were assigned on the basis of ^1H NMR data. The methine protons of 52 give doublet signals in the ^1H NMR spectrum at δ 4.0 ($J = 10$ Hz). Also, the methine protons of 53 and 54 give quartet signals at δ 2.44 ($J = 5.8$ Hz) and 2.43 ($J = 5.7$ Hz) and doublet signals at δ 2.7 ($J = 3.8$ Hz) and 2.78 ($J = 3.8$ Hz) respectively in the ^1H NMR spectrum. These data are comparable with the data reported for similar derivatives.³⁷

Recently, **Alper et al** reported that the reaction of sodium diethyl malonate with molybdenum hexacarbonyl at 25 °C followed by opening of the 2-phenylazirine in THF at -78 °C, gives the corresponding cyclic **imide** 56 (eq. **18**).^{37c}






Accordingly, the present method is useful as an alternative method for such applications.

2. 2. 3 **Reaction of alkyne-iron carbonyl complexes obtained using mono- and disubstituted alkynes in the presence of excess amine**

To our knowledge, direct conversion of alkynes to the corresponding cyclic imides has not been reported using iron carbonyl reagents. Hence, conversion of different alkynes to the corresponding cyclic imides under mild conditions would be synthetically useful. Accordingly, we have further investigated the above transformation to prepare cyclic imides from various mono- and disubstituted alkynes using different primary amines.

It was observed that the addition of excess amines (10 mole equiv.) at 25 °C in THF, to the alkyne-iron complexes **42**, prepared using alkynes, **Fe(CO)₅**, **NaBH₄** and **CH₃COOH**, leads to the corresponding cyclic **imide** derivatives **50** in moderate to good yields (55-64%) along with traces of cyclobutenediones (2-5%) (Scheme **12**).^{40a} This transformation was found to be general and various **mono-** and **disubstituted** alkynes were converted to the corresponding cyclic imide derivatives **50** using different amines. The results are summarized in Table 3. The formation of cyclic imides **50** can be also tentatively explained by the mechanism shown in Scheme **11**.^{40a}


Table 3: Reaction of iron carbonyl complexes obtained using mono- and disubstituted alkynes, $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$, NaBH_4 and CH_3COOH in the presence of excess **amine**

S.No	Alkyne	Amine	Product ^a	Yield ^b
	$\text{R}-\text{C}\equiv\text{C}-\text{H}$	$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_2$		
1.	$\text{R} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_5$	$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_2$	57	55%
2.	$\text{R} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_{13}$	$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_2$	58	62%
3.	$\text{R} = \text{C}_8\text{H}_{11}$	$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_2$	59	57%
4.	$\text{R} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_{17}$	$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_2$	60	59%
5.	$\text{Ph}-\text{C}\equiv\text{C}-\text{Ph}$	$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_2$	52	^c 55%
6.		$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_2$	53	^c 64%
7.		$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_2$	54	^c 61%
8.	$\text{Ph}-\text{C}\equiv\text{C}-\text{Ph}$	$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{NH}_2$		^c 53%
9.	$\text{H}_{11}\text{C}_5-\text{C}\equiv\text{C}-\text{H}$	PhCH_2NH_2		51%

Footnote to Table 3

- a) Products were identified from the spectral data **IR**, **^{13}C NMR**, **^1H NMR**, Mass and elemental analysis. The spectral data are comparable to the data reported for similar derivatives.³⁶
- b) Yields are of products isolated by column chromatography and based on the alkynes used.
- c) Stereochemistry assigned on the basis of ^1H NMR data. These data are comparable with the data reported for similar derivatives.³⁷ The spectral data of 61 are comparable with the data for the compound 53. Also, the data of 62 are comparable with the data of 59.

Scheme 12




Also, addition of another equivalent of alkyne in the above reaction (Scheme 12) did not make any change in the reactivity pattern of the alkyne-iron

complexes. Further, it was observed that the use of amines such as pyrrolidine, piperidine and triethylamine gave only a mixture of the corresponding benzoquinones and cyclobutenediones.


The cyclic imides are useful for the synthesis of pyrrolidine derivatives⁴¹ that are important in medicinal chemistry.⁴² For example, derivatives of such imides have proven applications in the treatment of arthritis,⁴³ tuberculosis,⁴⁴ convulsion and epilepsy.⁴ Accordingly, the simple one-pot method of conversion of alkynes to cyclic imides described here would serve as a simple alternative method for the synthesis of these derivatives.

2. 2. 4 Reaction of iron carbonyl complexes prepared using $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$, alkyne with excess amine

In the Chapter 1, we described that the iron carbonyl species prepared using the $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ and amines, react with alkyne in THF at 25 °C, to give the corresponding cyclobutenedione **63**.^{40b} We have explained the results through the formation of **alkyne-iron** complex intermediate **42** (eq. 19).










Hence, we have also examined the effect of excess amines on the iron carbonyl complexes 42 prepared using the $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$, alkynes and **amines**.^{40b} It was observed that addition of excess amines (10 mole equiv.) to the intermediate **alkyne-iron** complex 42 prepared using the $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ (6 mmol), **amine** (2.75 mmol) and alkynes (1.25 mmol) in THF, gives the corresponding cyclic **imides** 50 (45-65%) along with traces of cyclobutenediones (5-10%) after $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation (eq. 20). Also, it was found that this conversion is general and several alkynes are converted to the corresponding cyclic imides 50. The results are summarized in Table 4.



Under these conditions, use of tertiary amines and pyridine leads to the corresponding benzoquinones and cyclobutenediones. Also, in the case of trimethylsilyl substituted alkynes only the corresponding **cyclobutenediones**,^{40b} and **1,3-diynes** are obtained and the corresponding cyclic imides were not formed.

The formation of cyclic imides 50 can be rationalized through the steps outlined in Scheme 13 (see also Scheme 11).


Table 4: Reaction of iron carbonyl complexes prepared using $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$, alkyne
with excess amine

S.No	Alkyne	Amine	Product ^a	Yield(%) ^b
1.	$\text{R}-\text{C}\equiv\text{C}-\text{H}$	$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_2$	 57	45
2.	$\text{R} = \text{Ph}$	$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_2$	58	61
3.	$\text{R} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_{13}$	$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_2$	59	65
4.	$\text{Ph}-\text{C}\equiv\text{C}-\text{Ph}$	$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_2$	 52	51 ^c
5.		$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_2$	 53	58 ^c
6.		$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_2$	 54	49 ^c
7.	$\text{Ph}-\text{C}\equiv\text{C}-\text{Ph}$	$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{NH}_2$	 61	46 ^c
8.	$\text{H}_{11}\text{C}_5-\text{C}\equiv\text{C}-\text{H}$	PhCH_2NH_2	 62	55

Footnote to table 4

- a) Products were identified from the spectral data (**IR**, ^{13}C NMR, ^1H NMR and Mass) and elemental analysis. The spectral data are comparable with the reported data of similar derivatives.³⁶
- b) Yields are of products isolated by column chromatography and based on the amount of alkynes used.
- c) Stereochemistry assigned on the basis of ^1H NMR data. These data are comparable with the data reported for similar derivatives.³⁷

Scheme 13



2. 2. 5 Reaction of iron carbonyl complexes with $\text{PhC}\equiv\text{CSiMe}_3$ and primary amines

It was observed that the **alkyne-iron** complex, prepared using 1-phenyl-ene-(trimethyl)-silane, on reaction with *n*-butylamine in THF at 25 °C, gives the corresponding 1,3-diyne 55 in 46% yield (Table 2) after $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation (eq. 21).³⁸



Presumably, the $\text{PhC}\equiv\text{CH}$ could have first formed in the reaction of $\text{PhC}\equiv\text{CSiMe}_3$ under these conditions that may have reacted with CuCl_2 to give the 55. It is of interest to note that the **1-alkynes** give the corresponding symmetrical 1,3-diynes in the presence of certain Cu(II) complexes.³⁹

2. 3 Conclusions

The **alkyne-iron** complexes prepared using the **Fe(CO)₅**, **NaBH₄**, **CH₃COOH** and **1-alkyne** reagent system in THF give the corresponding benzoquinones after reaction with another equiv. of 1-alkyne in the presence of amines.

These complexes are also **successfully** converted to the corresponding cyclic **imides** in moderate to good yields. Such cyclic **imides** are very useful starting materials for the synthesis of the corresponding pyrrolidine derivatives. Accordingly, it is anticipated that the one-pot methods of conversion of alkynes to the corresponding cyclic imides described here would stimulate further work in this area.

2. 4 Experimental Section


2. 4. 1 General information:

Most of the informations given in the experimental section of Chapter 1 are also applicable for the experiments outlined here. The commercially available amines were distilled before use. Alkynes (except **1-heptyne**) were prepared following reported procedures.⁴⁶

2. 4. 2 Reaction of iron carbonyl complexes prepared using **1-alkynes**, **Fe(CO)₅**, **NaBH₄** and **CH₃COOH** with **1-alkynes** and ***n*-butylamine** (4 mole equiv.)

The **Fe(CO)₅** (2.9 g, 15 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was added drop wise for 1h to **NaBH₄** (0.567 g, 15 mmol) in THF (50 mL), and stirred for 8 h at 25 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. Acetic acid (2.7 g, 45 mmol) was added and stirred for 30 min. Subsequently, 1-heptyne (0.24 g, 2.5 mmol) was added and the contents were further stirred for 4 h. Then, additional amount of 1-heptyne (0.24 g, 2.5 mmol) and ***n*-butylamine** (0.73g, 10 mmol) were added and the contents were further stirred for 12h at 25 °C. The metal carbonyl complex was decomposed using **CuCl₂·2H₂O** (6.8 g, 40 mmol) in acetone (25 mL). Saturated **aq.NaCl** (20 mL) was added and the contents were extracted with ether (100 mL). The combined organic extract was washed with brine (15 mL), dried over anhydrous

MgSO_4 and concentrated. The residue was subjected to column chromatography (silica gel, hexane). Hexane eluted the mixture of benzoquinones **46a** and **46b**.³⁴




Yield : 60% (0.188 g)

IR(neat) : 1657 cm^{-1}

^1H NMR (CDCl_3) : 8 ppm 0.89 (t, $J = 6.8\text{ Hz}$, 12 H), 1.16-2.46 (m, 32 H for 46a and 46b), 6.50 (s, 2H for **46a**), 6.52 (s, 2H for **46b**) (Spectrum No. 10)

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3) : δ ppm 13.6, 22.2, 27.4, 28.5, 29.0, 31.3, 131.9, 132.4, 149.2, 149.6, 187.4, 187.7 (Spectrum No. 11).

The above procedure was followed for the conversion of other 1-alkynes to the corresponding benzoquinones. The spectral data are comparable with the data reported for similar derivatives.³⁴ The percentage **compositions** of the benzoquinone **isomers** (a) and (b) were calculated from the ^1H NMR signal intensities.³⁴




Yield : 45% (0.123 g)

IR(KBr) : 1649 cm^{-1}

$^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) : 8 ppm 6.84 (s, 2H for 47a), 6.88 (s, 2H for 47b), 7.45 (m, 20 H for 47a and 47b)

$^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (CDCl_3) : δ ppm 128.4, 129.4, 130.0, 132.6, 133.2, 146.5, 187.5
(Spectrum NO. 12)




Yield : 61% (0.210 g)

IR (neat) : 1657 cm^{-1}

^1H NMR (CDCl_3) : δ ppm 0.89 (t, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 12 H for **48a** and **48b**), 1.16-2.46 (m, 40 H for **48a** and **48b**), 6.50 (s, 2H for **48a**), 6.52 (s, 2H for **48b**)

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3) : δ ppm 13.6, 22.1, 27.3, 28.6, 29.0, 31.1, 131.9, 132.4, 149.1, 149.5, 187.3, 187.6.



Yield : 51% (0.213 g)


IR(neat) : 1655 cm^{-1}

^1H NMR (CDCl_3) : δ ppm 0.90 (t, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 12 H for **49a** and **49b**), 1.18-1.70 (m, 48H for **49a** and **49b**), 2.44 (m, 8H for **49a** and **49b**), 6.48 (s, 2H for **49a**), 6.51 (s, 2H for **49b**).

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3) : δ ppm 13.9, 22.5, 27.8, 28.6, 29.0, 29.2, 29.7, 31.7, 131.6, 132.4, 149.1, 149.5, 187.3, 187.8 (Spectrum No. 13)

2. 4. 3 Reaction of iron carbonyl complexes prepared using disubstituted alkynes, $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$, NaBH_4 , and CH_3COOH with disubstituted alkynes and *n*-butylamine (4 mole equiv.)

The $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ (2.9 g, 15 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was added drop wise for 1h to NaBH_4 (0.567 g, 15 mmol) in THF (50 mL), and stirred for 8 h at 25 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. Acetic acid (2.7 g, 45 mmol) was added and stirred for 30 min. Subsequently, diphenylacetylene (0.455 g, 2.5 mmol) was added and the contents were further stirred for 4 h. Additional amount of diphenylacetylene (0.455g, 2.5 mmol) and *n*-butylamine (0.73g, 10 mmol) were added and the contents were further stirred for 12 h at 25 °C. The metal carbonyl complex was decomposed using $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (6.8 g, 40 mmol) in acetone (25 mL). Saturated aq. NaCl (20 mL) was added and the contents were extracted with ether (100 mL). The combined organic extract was washed with brine (15 mL), dried over anhydrous MgSO_4 and concentrated. The residue was subjected to column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ethyl acetate). Ethyl acetate/hexane (2:98) eluted the cyclic imide 52.



Yield : 25% (0.190 g)

IR(neat) : 1778, 1705 cm^{-1}

$^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) : 8 ppm 1.1-1.8 (m, TH), 3.6 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 4.1 (d, $J = 10$ Hz, 2H), 7.15-7.6 (m, 1 OH)

$^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (CDCl_3) : δ (ppm): 13.7, 20.2, 29.9, 39.1, 55.3, 127.8, 128.2, 128.5, 129.1, 136.9, 176.5


MS (EI) : m/z 307 (M^+ , 26%), 265 [$(M^+ - \text{C}_3\text{H}_7 + 1)$, 10%]

Elemental analysis for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{21}\text{O}_2\text{N}$

Calcd. : C, 78.12; H, 6.84; N, 4.56

Found : C, 78.25; H, 6.90; N, 4.52.

The spectral data are comparable to the spectral data reported for similar derivatives.³⁶ The above method was also followed for the conversion of other disubstituted alkyne derivatives to the corresponding cyclic imides.



Yield : 36% (0.302 g)

IR(neat) : 1766, 1689 cm^{-1}

^1H NMR (CDCl_3) : 6 ppm 0.75-1.53 (m, 18H), 1.62 (s, 3H), 2.44 (q, $J = 5.8$ Hz, 1H), 2.7 (d, $J = 3.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.4 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.2-7.4 (m, 5H) (Spectrum No. 14)


^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3) : 5 ppm 13.4, 13.7, 19.9, 22.1, 24.6, 25.1, 29.5, 31.2, 31.3, 38.3, 42.7, 57.0, 74.8, 125.6, 127.7, 128.2, 143.6, 178.5, 179.1 (Spectrum No. 15)

MS(EI) : m/z 347 (M^+ , 2%), 329 [$(\text{M}^+ - \text{H}_2\text{O})$, 10%] (Spectrum No. 16)

Elemental analysis for $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{31}\text{O}_2\text{N}$

Calcd. : C, 73.04; H, 8.98; N, 4.05

Found : C, 73.10; H, 9.15; N, 4.11.



Yield : 28% (0.215 g)

IR (neat) : 3487, 1766, 1693 cm^{-1}

$^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) : 8 ppm 0.8-1.0 (**m**, 14H), 1.2-1.8 (**m**, 14H), 2.43 (q, J = 5.7 **Hz**, 1H), 2.78 (**d**, J = 3.8**Hz**, 1H), 3.45 (t, J = 7.1 **Hz**, 2H) (Spectrum No. 17)

$^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (CDCl_3) : δ ppm 7.2, 7.6, 13.3, 13.6, **19.8**, 22.1, 25.1, 28.2, 28.8, 29.2, 29.4, 31.5, 38.2, 42.1, 51.6, 75.6, 178.9, 179.5 (Spectrum No. 18)

MS (EI) : m/z 293 [$(\text{M}^+ - \text{H}_2\text{O})$, 2%], 282 [40%] (Spectrum No. 19)

Elemental analysis for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{33}\text{O}_3\text{N}$


Calcd. : C, **69.45**; H, **10.61**; N, 4.50

Found : C, **69.51**; H, **10.60**; N, 5.00.

2. 4. 4 Reaction of iron carbonyl complexes prepared using alkynes, **$\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$, NaBH_4 and CH_3COOH with *n*-butylamine (10 mole equiv.)**


The **$\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$** (2.9 g, 15 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was added drop wise for 1h to **NaBH_4** (0.567 g, 15 mmol) in THF (50 mL), and stirred for 8 h at 25 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. Acetic acid (2.7 g, 45 mmol) was added and stirred for 30 min. Subsequently, phenylacetylene (0.255 g, 2.5 mmol) was added and stirred for 4h. ***n*-Butylamine** (1.8 g, 25 mmol) was added and the contents were further stirred for 12 h at 25 °C. The metal carbonyl complex was decomposed using

CuCl₂·2H₂O (6.8 g, 40 mmol) in acetone (25 mL). Saturated **aq.NaCl** (20 mL) was added and the contents were extracted with ether (**100 mL**). The combined organic extract was washed with brine (**15 mL**), dried over anhydrous **MgSO₄** and concentrated. The residue was subjected to column chromatography (silica gel, **hexane/ethyl acetate**). Ethyl acetate (2:98) in hexane eluted the cyclic **imide** derivative **57** (**0.310 g**, 55%).³⁶



Yield	: 55% (0.310 g)
IR (neat)	: 1766, 1707 cm^{-1}
¹³ C NMR (CDCl ₃)	: 8 ppm 10.1, 18.6, 21.5, 27.7, 36.2, 38.5, 128.0, 128.8, 132.4, 172.5, 175.9
¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃)	: 8 ppm 0.6-1.8 (m, 5H), 2.81 (d, J = 13.3 Hz, 1H), 2.85 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 3.1 (q, 2H), 3.8 (t, 2H), 4.01 (t, 1H), 7-7.5 (m, 5H).

The above procedure was also followed for the conversion of other alkynes to the corresponding cyclic imide derivatives. The spectral data are comparable with the data reported for similar derivatives.^{36,37}




Yield : 62% (0.360 g)

IR(neat) : 1786, 1703 cm^{-1}

^1H NMR (CDCl_3) : 8 ppm 0.8-1.9 (m, 20H), 2.09 (m, 1H), 2.3 (d, $J = 13.3$ Hz, 1H), 2.7 (d, $J = 13.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.4 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H)

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3) : 8 ppm 13.3, 13.7, 19.8, 22.3, 26.4, 28.8, 29.5, 31.2, 31.3, 34.1, 38.2, 39.6, 176.4, 179.7.



Yield : 57% (0.310 g)

IR(neat) : 1772, 1703 cm^{-1}

^1H NMR (CDCl_3) : 6 ppm 0.6-1.87 (m, 18H), 1.92 (m, 1H), 2.1 (d, $J = 13.6$ Hz, 1H), 2.6 (d, $J = 13.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.3 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H)


^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3) : 6 ppm 13.2, 13.5, 19.7, 22.1, 26.1, 29.4, 31.0, 31.2, 34.0, 38.0, 39.5, 176.4, 179.6

MS(EI) : m/z 225 (M^+ , 4%), 168 [$\text{M}^+ - \text{C}_4\text{H}_9$], 15%]

Elemental analysis for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{23}\text{O}_2\text{N}$

Calcd. : C, 69.33, H, 10.22; N, 6.22

Found : C, 69.32; H, 10.27; N, 6.21.




Yield : 59% (0.385 g)

IR (neat) : 1770, 1703 cm^{-1}

^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3) : 8 ppm 13.3, 13.8, 19.8, 22.4, 26.5, 27.8, 29.1, 29.6, 31.2, 31.6, 32.1, 34.2, 38.2, 39.7, 176.7, 179.9.

$^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) : 6 ppm 0.7-1.95 (m, 24H), 2.1 (m, 1H), 2.2 (d, J = 13.4 Hz, 1H), 2.7 (d, J = 13.3 Hz, 1H), 3.2 (t, J = 7.3, 2H).

The cyclic **imides** 52, 53 and 54 were also prepared from the corresponding **alkynes** following this procedure.^{36,37} The results are summarized in the Table 3. The procedure was also followed for other amines. The data are given here.



Yield : 53% (0.473 g)

IR(neat) : 1776, 1707 cm^{-1}

$^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) : 8 ppm 0.9-1.8 (m, 15H), 3.7 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 4.1 (d, J = 10 Hz, 2H), 7.1-7.4 (m, 10H)


$^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (CDCl_3) : δ ppm 14.1, 22.6, 26.8, 27.7, 29.1, 31.7, 39.3, 55.3, 127.7, 129.1, 136.9, 176.3

MS(EI) : m/z 363 (M^+ , 12%), 265 [$(\text{M}^+ - \text{CH}_2(\text{CH}_2)_5\text{CH}_3 + 1)$, 10%]

Elemental analysts for $C_{24}H_{29}O_2N$

Calcd. : C, 79.34; H, 7.98; N, 3.86

Found : **C, 79.52; H, 8.10; N, 3.88.**



Yield : 51% (0.321 g)

IR (neat) : 1766, 1707 cm^{-1}


^{13}C NMR ($CDCl_3$) : 6 ppm 10.1, 18.6, 21.5, 27.5, 27.7, 30.6, 36.2, 38.5, 128.0, 128.8, 132.4, 172.5, 175.9

1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) : 8 ppm 0.91.92 (**m**, 12H), 2.4 (**d**, $J = 13.4$ Hz, 1H), 2.77 (**d**, $J = 13.6$ Hz, 1H), 4.2 (s, 2H), 7.2-7.4 (**m**, 5H).

2. 4. 5 Reaction of iron carbonyl complexes prepared using $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$, *n*-butylamine and alkynes with excess of *n*-butylamine

A mixture of $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$ (3.02 g, 6 mmol) and *n*-butylamine (0.201 g, 2.75 mmol) in THF (50 mL) under N_2 atmosphere was stirred for 30 min. at 25 °C. 1-Heptyne (0.120 g, 1.25 mmol) was added and the stirring was continued for 3h. *n*-


Butylamine (12.5 mmol, 0.9 g) was added and the contents were further stirred for 12h at 25 °C. The metal **carbonyl** complex was decomposed using **CuCl₂·2H₂O** (1.7g, 10 mmol) in acetone (10 mL). Saturated **aq.NaCl** (25 mL) was added, and the resulting solution was extracted with ether (100 mL), washed with brine (20 mL), dried over anhydrous **MgSO₄** and concentrated. The residue was subjected to column chromatography (silica gel, **hexane/ethyl acetate**). Ethyl acetate (2:98) in hexane eluted the cyclic **imide** 59 (0.183 g, 65%).³⁶



The spectral data are comparable with the data of the 59 obtained in experiments using **Fe(CO)₅/NaBH₄/CH₃COOH** and **alkynes**.^{36,37} The above procedure was followed for the conversion of other alkynes to the corresponding cyclic **imides**. The results are summarized in Table 4. Also, the procedure was followed using other amines. These results are also given in Table 4.

2. 4. 6 Reaction of iron carbonyl complex prepared using $\text{PhC}\equiv\text{CSiMe}_3$, $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$, NaBH_4 , and CH_3COOH with $\text{PhC}\equiv\text{CSiMe}_3$ and *n*-butylamine (4 mole equiv.)

The $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ (2.9 g, 15 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was added drop wise for **1h** to NaBH_4 (0.567 g, 15 mmol) in THF (50 mL), and stirred for 8 h at 25 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. Acetic acid (2.7 g, 45 mmol) was added and stirred for 30 min. Subsequently, 1-phenyl-1-(trimethylsilyl)ethyne (0.435 g, 2.5 mmol) was added and the contents were further stirred for 4h. 1-Phenyl-1-(trimethylsilyl)ethyne (0.435 g, 2.5 mmol) and *n*-butylamine (1.23g, 10 mmol) were added and the contents were further stirred for 12h at 25 °C. The metal carbonyl complex was decomposed using $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (6.8 g, 40 mmol) in acetone (25 mL). Saturated aq. NaCl (20 mL) was added and the contents were extracted with ether (100 mL). The combined organic extract was washed with brine (15 mL), dried over anhydrous MgSO_4 and concentrated. The residue was subjected to column chromatography (silica gel, hexane). Hexane eluted the **1,3-diyne 55** (Yield: 46%, 0.220 g).³⁸



Yield : 46% (0.220 g)

M.P. : 87 °C (lit.³⁸ 87-88 °C)

IR (KBr) : 3058 cm⁻¹

¹H NMR (CDCl₃) : δ ppm 7.1 -7.4 9 (m, 1 OH)

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) : δ ppm 74.0, 81.0, 121.9, 128.4, **129.1, 132.5**

The spectral data show 1:1 correspondence with the data reported in the literature.³⁸

2. 5 References:

1. a) L. S. **Liebeskind**, S. L. Baysdon, M. S. South, S. Iyer and J. P. Leeds, *Tetrahedron* **1985**, *41*, 5839 and the references cited **therein**. b) J. **O. Karlsson**, N. V. Nguyen, L. D. Foland and H. W. Moore, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1985**, *107*, 3392. c) H. W. Moore and **O.** H. W. Decker, *Chem. Rev.*, **1986**, *86*, 821 and the references cited **therein**. d) L. A. Paquette, T. M. Morwick, J. T. Negri and **R.** D. Rogers, *Tetrahedron* **1996**, *57*, 3075.
2. W. Reppe and H. Vetter, *Ann. Chem. Justus Liebig.*, **1953**, *582*, **133**.

3. H. W. **Sternberg**, R. A. Friedel, R. Markby and I. Wender, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1956**, *78*, 3621.
4. A. A. Hock and O. S. Mills, *Acta Cryst.* **1961**, *14*, 139.
5. a) M. Casarin, D. Ajo, G. Granozzi, E. Tondello and S. **Aime**, *Inorg. Chem.*, **1985**, *24*, 1241. b) M. F. Semmelhack and J. Park, *Organometallics* **1986**, *5*, 2550. c) L. J. Todd, J. P. Hickey, J. R. Wilkinson, J. C. **Huffman** and K. Folting, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1976**, *112*, 167. d) G. Dettlaf and E. Weiss, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1976**, *70S*, 213. e) F. W. Grevels, D. Schulz and K. V. **Gustorf**, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1975**, *91*, 341.
6. S. Aime, L. Milone, E. Sappa, A. Tiripicchio and A. M. M. **Lanfredi**, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton* **1979**, 1664.
7. R. B. King and C. W. Eavenson, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **1972**, *42*, **C95**.
8. H. **D.** Kaesz, R. B. King, T. A. Manuel, L. D. Nichols and F. G. A. Stone, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1960**, *82*, 4749.
9. R.B. King and C.A. Harmon, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **1975**, *88*, 93.
10. A. **Efraty**, *Chem. Rev.*, **1977**, *77*, 697.
11. **R.** Buhler, R. Geist, R. Mundnich and H. Plieninger, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1973**, 1919.
12. A. Efraty, *Chem. Rev.*, **1977**, *77*, 703.
13. **R.** B. King and M. N. Ackermann, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **1973**, *60*, C57.
14. R. C. Pettersen, J. L. Cihonski, F. R. Young **III** and R. A. Levenson, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, **1975**, 370.


15. M. J. Bennett, **W.** A. G. Graham, R. A. Smith and R. P. Stewart, Jr, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1973**, 95, 1684.
16. a) M. A. Nasta and A. G. **MacDiarmid**, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1971**, 93, 2813.
b) M. A. **Nasta**, A. G. **MacDiarmid** and F.E. Saalfeld, *,ibid*, **1972**, 94, 2449.
17. G.Wilkinson, F. G. A. Stone and E. W. Abel (Eds) *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, New York, **1982**, Vol. **4**, p 554.
18. M. C. Whiting, *Chem. Weekblad* **1963**, 53, 119.
19. M. M. Bagga, G. Ferguson, J. A. D. Jeffreys, C. M. Mansell, P. L. **Pauson**, I. C. Robertson and J. G. **Sime**, *Chem. Commun.*, **1970**, 672.
20. J. A. D. Jeffreys and C. M. Willis, *J. Chem. Soc, Dalton* **1972**, 2169.
21. E. H. Braye and W. Hubel, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1963**, 2, 217.
22. **R.** Case, E. R. H. Jones, N. V. Schwartz and M. C. Whiting, *Proc. Chem. Soc.* **1962**, 256
23. a) **R.** Case, R. Clarkson, E. R. H. Jones and M. C. Whiting, *Proc. Chem. Soc* **1959**, 1959. b) E. Weiss, W. Hubel and R. Merenyi, *Chem. Ber.* **1962**, 95, 1155.
24. N.E. Schore, *Chem. Rev.*, **1988**, 88, 1081.
25. L. S. Liebeskind, *Tetrahedron* **1989**, 45, 3053.
26. L. S. **Liebeskind**, J. P. Leeds, S. L. Baysdon and S. Iyer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1984**, 106, 6451.

27. L.S. **Liebeskind**, S.L. Baysdon and M.S. South, *J.Am.Chem. Soc.*, **1980**, *102*, 7397.
28. H. Hoberg and A. Herrera, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, **1980**, *19*, 927.
29. A. Herrera and H. Hoberg, *Synthesis* **1981**, 831.
30. T. Sugihara, M. **Yamada**, H. Ban, M. **Yamaguchi** and C. **Kaneko**, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1997**, *36*, 2801.
31. T. Rajesh and M. **Periasamy**, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1998**, *39*, 117.
32. M. Periasamy, C. **Rameshkumar** and U. Radhakrishnan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1997**, *38*, 7229.
33. a) H. W. **Sternberg**, R. Markby and I. Wender, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1958**, *80*, 1009. b) H. **Alper** and J. T. **Edward**, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1969**, *16*, 342.
34. K. **Maruyama**, T. Shio and Y. **Yamamoto**, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1979**, *52*, 1877.
35. a) H. W. **Sternberg**, R. Markby and I. Wender, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1957**, *79*, 6116. b) R. Noyori, I. **Umeda** and T. **Ishigami**, *J. Org. Chem.*, **1972**, *37*, 1542.
36. a) R. **Hernandez**, D. Melian and E. **Suarez**, *Synthesis* **1992**, 653. b) L. R. Caswell, M. **Guevara**, L. D. **Corley**, A. V. Martinez, T. Hollis, K. Largess and D. L. Thornley, *Synthesis* **1992**, 823. c) O. Tsuge, S. **Kanemasa**, S. **Kuraoka** and S. Takenaka, *Chem. Lett.*, **1984**, 281.
37. a) R. **Hernandez**, J.J. Marrero, D. Melian and E. **Suracz**, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1988, *29*, 6661. b). V.D. Rao and M. Periasamy, *Synthesis* (in **press**). c) H.

- Alper**, C. P. **Mahatantila**, F.W.B. Einstein and **A.C. Willis**, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 2708.
38. **A. O. King**, **E. Negishi**, **F.J. Villani, Jr.** and **A. Silveira, Jr.** *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 358.
39. **P.K. Jenkins**, *Organometallic Reagents in Synthesis I*, Oxford Chemistry Series, Oxford University Press, New York, **1995**.
40. a). **C. Rameshkumar** and **M. Periasamy**, (Communicated).
b). **C. Rameshkumar** and **M. Periasamy**, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, (in press).
41. **M. Nakajima**, **K. Yomioka**, **Y. Iitaka** and **K. Koga**, *Tetrahedron* **1993**, *49*, **10793** and the references cited therein.
42. **M.K. Hargreaves**, **J.G. Pritchard**, **H.R. Dave**, *Chem.Rev.*, **1970**, *70*, 439.
43. **O.H. Buchanan** and **R.H. Freyberg**, *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **1944**, *82*, **391**.
44. **D. Twomey**, *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad., Sect B*, **1954**, *57*, 39.
45. **D.T. Davidson** and **C. Zombroso**, *New Engl. J. Med.*, **1954**, *251*, 853.
46. a) **E. V. Dehmlow** and **M. Lissel**, *Tetrahedron* **1981**, *37*, 1653. b) **L. Brandsma**, and **H. D. Verkruijsse**, *Synthesis of Acetylenes, Allenes and Cumulenes*, Elsevier, New York. **1981**.


Chapter 3

Studies on the Preparation of Reactive Iron Carbonyl Species via Reduction of FeCl_3 Using NaBH_4 and CO for Synthetic Applications




The 'exhaustively' reduced carbonylmetalates $\text{M}(\text{CO})_4^{6-}$ (M = Ti, Zr, Hf) have not yet been prepared. However, Ellis *et al* isolated the corresponding triphenylstannyl derivatives.¹


Recently, it has been reported that the reaction of the **K** ([15]crown-5)₂Ti(CO)₆ complex **4** with $\text{BH}_3\text{:THF}$ in THF at -60 °C gives the air sensitive compound **5** (eq. 3).²




Also, the $[\text{Ti}(\text{CO})_4(\eta^3\text{-BH}_4)]^-$ undergoes nucleophilic reactions with L (L = C_5H_5^- , C_5Me_5^- , Ph_3C^-) to give the titanium carbonyl complex **7** (eq. 4).




First examples of eight-coordinate metal carbonyls of Zr and Hf have been synthesized recently (eq. 5).²




The carbonyls of vanadium, niobium and tantalum were first prepared by reductive carbonylation of the corresponding metal halides under CO. For example, the preparation of $[\text{V}(\text{CO})_6]^-$ involves the reaction of VCl_3 with CO (200 atm) and Na in diglyme at 160 °C. The $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ has been used as a catalyst in this reaction. After filtration and precipitation, $[\text{Na}(\text{diglyme})_2][\text{V}(\text{CO})_6]$ complex 9 was obtained (eq. 6).³




The $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$ can be synthesized by the reaction of anhydrous CrCl_3 at atmospheric pressure of CO and a large excess of phenylmagnesium bromide at 4 °C, followed by acid hydrolysis (eq. 7).⁴ It is a commercially available reagent.



A preferred method for the synthesis of **Cr(CO)₆** involves the reaction of a **chromium(III)** salt with a suitable reducing agent in the presence of CO. These reductive carbonylation reactions give higher yields and reproducible results (eq. **8**).⁴



In 1958, Closson *et al* described the synthesis of **Mn₂(CO)₁₀** **11** using sodium **ketyl** of benzophenone as a reducing agent with **MnCl₂** at 200 to 700 **atm** of CO pressure (eq. 9).⁵




The best method of preparation of **Mn₂(CO)₁₀** requires the use of aluminium **alkyl** as reducing **agent**.^{6a} The **Mn₂(CO)₁₀** is also commercially available.

The **Ni(CO)₄** is one of the earliest metal carbonyls prepared. It is a volatile compound (**b.p**^{6b} 43 °C) and highly toxic. The objective in this laboratory is to prepare useful cobalt and iron carbonyls via reduction of the readily accessible cobalt and iron complexes. Hence, a brief review on the


preparation and synthetic applications of these carbonyls will be helpful for the discussion.

3. 1. 1 Preparation and synthetic applications of cobalt carbonyls


There are several reports on the preparation of the **useful** $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$ reagent (12). A low pressure preparation of $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$ was reported using cobalt(II) iodide and Zn powder under CO (eq. 10).⁷



Reduction of cobalt oxalate with H_2 (5 atm) and CO (40 atm) at high temperature and pressure gives the $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$ (eq. 11).⁸




Also, it has been reported that the cobalt **acetate**, suspended in acetic **anhydride**, on reaction with 1:4 mixture of H_2 and CO at **160-180** °C under 200 atm pressure gives the $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$ (eq. 12).




A convenient laboratory synthesis of **Co₂(CO)₈** requires the initial preparation of **KCo(CO)₄** (13) by passing CO through an alkaline suspension of cobalt cyanide. On acidification, the initially formed **HCo(CO)₄** decomposes thermally to give **Co₂(CO)₈** (Scheme 1).^{9,10}

Scheme 1




Several simple, practical methods have been developed in this laboratory for the preparation of cobalt carbonyls *in situ* from its halides using different reducing agents under CO. These cobalt carbonyl species have been used for several interesting organic transformations. For example, it was found that the reduction of **CoCl₂** with **MgH₂** at 0 °C under CO gives cobalt carbonyl 12, which undergoes the Pauson-Khand reaction with alkyne and norbornene to give the corresponding cyclopentenones 14 upon heating at 70 °C (Scheme 2).


Scheme 2



The reductive carbonylation of **CoBr₂** using Zn at CO atmosphere in THF gives cobalt carbonyl, which reacts with diphenylacetylene to give alkyne-**Co₂(CO)₆** complex **15**. Formation of this complex in the reaction mixture was spectroscopically confirmed (eq. **13**).¹¹




Interestingly, the alkyne-cobalt complex prepared following the above procedure using phenylacetylene on further heating with two more equivalents of phenylacetylene gives the corresponding tri-substituted benzene **16a** and **16b** (eq. **14**).¹²




The alkyne-cobalt complex, prepared using diphenylacetylene, **CoBr₂/Zn** under CO atmosphere at 70-80 °C in THF, gives tetraphenylcyclopentenone 17 after decomplexation with **CF₃COOH** (Scheme 3).¹³

Scheme 3




Also, the cobalt carbonyl complex of 1-heptyne reacts with **norbornene** in the presence of TFA, to give the reduced Pauson-Khand product 18 (Scheme 4).¹⁴

Scheme 4




However, the Pauson-Khand reaction, carried out using the cobalt complex derived from propargyl alcohol with **norbornene** at 65-70 °C for 12h, in the presence of TFA, gives the corresponding methyl substituted cyclopentenone **19** (Scheme 5).¹⁵

Scheme 5





Recently, a new protocol for the Pauson-Khand reaction of alkyne-**Co₂(CO)₆** complexes, prepared using **sub-stoichiometric** amounts of **CoBr₂**, and Zn at atmospheric pressure of CO, has been **reported**.¹⁵ These complexes on heating with olefins give the corresponding Pauson-Khand products **20** and **21** (Scheme **6**).¹⁵

Scheme 6




Also, the **alkyne- $Co_2(CO)_6$** complexes prepared *in situ* in the absence of added olefins, give the corresponding cyclopentenones **22** & **23** on heating in toluene (eq. **15**, **16**).¹⁷






Further, the Pauson-Khand reaction can be carried out under ambient conditions in the presence of DMSO or amines.¹⁶ Moreover, the **alkyne- $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_6$** complexes, prepared as above, give substituted dicyclopentadienones **24** under ambient conditions in the presence of amines or DMSO (Scheme 7).¹⁷



Scheme 7




A practically simple method for the generation of $\text{Na}[\text{Co}(\text{CO})_4]$ **25** has been developed by reducing cobalt halides (**CoCl_2** or **CoBr_2**) using sodium naphthalenide. The cobalt carbonyl **25** prepared in this way was used for the carbonylative cyclization of certain benzyl halides (eq. 17).



Also, it was found that the iodoalkylboranes prepared by the hydroboration of alkenes with **BH₂I-complex** can be carbonylated in the presence of NaCo(CO)₄ to obtain the corresponding dialkyl ketones **26** after **H₂O₂/NaOH** oxidation (eq. **18**).¹⁸



The cobalt carbonyl reagent, prepared in the reaction of **Ph(Et)₂N:BH₃** **27** complex (1 equiv.) in benzene and anhydrous **CoCl₂** (1 equiv.) in THF under CO, is useful for the carbonylation of alkene (2 equiv.) to obtain the corresponding dialkyl ketone **28** after oxidation with **H₂O₂/NaOH**. The alcohol resulting from hydroboration-oxidation was also obtained as a side product (eq. **19**).¹⁹




Presumably, the **Ph(Et)₂N:BH₃** **27** on reaction with **CoCl₂** would give the **H₂BHCl** and cobalt hydride species. The latter could yield the cobalt carbonyl **Co₂(CO)₈**, in the presence of CO (eq. 20).²⁰




Also, the cobalt carbonyl species, prepared using the **Ph(Et)₂N:BH₃** **27** complex (1 equiv.) in methanol and anhydrous **CoCl₂** (1 equiv.) in THF under CO, is useful for the carbonylation of **norbornene** to give the ketone **29** and the lactone **30** (Scheme **8**).²¹

Scheme 8





The reduction of CoCl_2 with NaBH_4 under CO also produces cobalt carbonyl species. For example, the cobalt carbonyl species prepared *in situ* in THF under CO atmosphere using CoCl_2 and NaBH_4 reagent system on treatment with aq. NaOH gives $\text{Co}(\text{CO})_4^-$ 31. The species prepared in this way was utilized for the carbonylation of benzyl halide to phenyl acetic acid (32) and 2,2'-bis(bromomethyl)biphenyl to the corresponding cyclic ketone 33 (Scheme 9).²²

Scheme 9




Several other synthetic applications have been carried out using the cobalt carbonyl species prepared *in situ* by the reduction of CoCl_2 with NaBH_4 and CO. They are summarized in equations 21-23.^{21,22}




3. 1. 2 Preparation and applications of iron carbonyl Is


The butadiene iron carbonyl complex 34 has been prepared via carbonylation of an ether solution of FeCl_3 , butadiene and **isopropylmagnesium** chloride while bubbling CO (5 atm) (eq. 24). The butadiene complex prepared following this procedure has been used as a catalyst for the oligomerization of 1,3-butadiene in toluene to a mixture of cyclic and linear **dimers**.²³⁻²⁶



Interesting reactive species (termed FeCRACO) (35) were prepared *in situ* by the reduction of **FeCl₃** by **NaH-sodium tert-amyloxide** combination under CO (eq. 25). The reagent prepared in this way has been used for the carbonylation of primary, secondary and tertiary **alkyl halides**.^{27,28}




It has been reported that the **RuCl₃** in **CH₃OH** in the presence of Zn under CO (5-10 atm) at 65 °C, gives the **Ru₃(CO)₁₂** (36) in good yield (eq. 26).²⁹




Early studies in this laboratory indicated that the reduction of **FeCl₃** with Zn at 1 atm. of CO does not produce iron **carbonyls**.¹¹ However, the super nucleophile **Na₂Fe(CO)₄** (Collman's reagent) (37)³⁰ was prepared in THF, by reducing the anhydrous **FeCl₃** using sodium **naphthalenide** under CO (1 atm) at 25 °C. The **Na₂Fe(CO)₄** prepared by this method was used in several reactions characteristic of **Na₂Fe(CO)₄** (Scheme 10).³¹ This reagent has also been used for the preparation of **NaHFe(CO)₄** for applications in the reaction with alkyl halides

and alkynes to obtain cyclobutenediones after **CuCl₂·2H₂O** oxidation (introductory section, Chapter 1).

Scheme 10



Also, a brief earlier investigation indicated that the **NaBH₄** and **MgH₂** reagents reduce **FeCl₃** under CO atmosphere to unidentified iron carbonyl species (eq. 27). The presence of iron carbonyl species in the reaction mixture was confirmed by analyzing the **IR spectrum**.¹¹




As discussed in the chapter 1, reduction of **Fe(CO)₅** with **NaBH₄** followed by **CH₃COOH** treatment leads to reactive iron carbonyl species. It would be advantageous to prepare such reactive iron carbonyl derivatives using readily accessible reagents such as **FeCl₃** and **NaBH₄** under CO. Hence, we have undertaken the investigations described in this chapter.


3. 2 Results and Discussion

3. 2. 1 Preparation of iron carbonyl species via reduction of **FeCl₃** using **NaBH₄** in the presence of CO

It has been reported that the reduction of **FeCl₃** with excess **LiBH₄** in diethyl ether gives iron(II) borohydride that decomposes slowly at -10 °C and rapidly at 0 °C to produce the diborane, hydrogen and pyrophoric residue containing boron and iron (eq. 28).³²



Preliminary studies in this laboratory indicated that the reaction of **NaBH₄** (3 equiv.) with **FeCl₃** in THF results in the formation of species that hydroborates olefins (eq. 29).³³



We have observed that the reduction of anhydrous **FeCl₃** (10 mmol) with **NaBH₄** (40 mmol) in THF at 25 °C followed by addition of **CH₃COOH** (68 mmol) while bubbling CO at atmospheric pressure for 9h gives iron carbonyl species (eq. 30).³⁴ The presence of iron carbonyl species in the reaction mixture

was confirmed by the I.R. spectral data of the solution (strong bands at 2044 cm^{-1} and 1986 cm^{-1}).^{35a} The U.V spectrum of the solution (λ_{max} :490 nm) (Figure 1) indicated the presence of metal carbonyl species of the type $[\text{HFe}(\text{CO})_4]^-$ (eq. **30**).^{35b}






Figure 1. U.V spectrum for iron carbonyl prepared



The formation of $\text{HFe}(\text{CO})_4^-$ *in situ* using the FeCl_3 , NaBH_4 , CO and CH_3COOH reagent system can be tentatively visualized as shown in Scheme 11. However, presence of other iron carbonyl species along with the $\text{HFe}(\text{CO})_4^-$ species cannot be ruled out.

Scheme 11




We have also undertaken efforts towards isolation of the iron carbonyl species as PPh_3 , pyrazine or pyridine derivatives. However, these efforts were not successful.³⁶ Accordingly, we proceeded with the synthetic applications of the $\text{HFe}(\text{CO})_4^-$ species prepared in this way.

3. 2. 2. Reaction of iron carbonyl species prepared using FeCl_3 , NaBH_4 , CH_3COOH and CO with 1-alkynes

It was observed that the reagent prepared as above, reacts with 1-alkynes in THF, at refluxing temperature for 12h to give disubstituted benzoquinones 38


(a) and 38(b) in moderate to good yields (**51-80%**) after **CuCl₂·2H₂O** oxidation (Scheme **12**).³⁴ Several **1-alkynes** were converted to the corresponding benzoquinones. The results are summarized in Table 1. Percentage composition of **isomer** (a) and (b) were calculated from the ¹H NMR signal intensities.³⁷

Scheme 12




Also, it was found that the reaction at 25 °C gave the corresponding benzoquinones in poor yields (10-20%). Further, internal alkynes such as diphenylacetylene, 1-heptynyl-(trimethyl)-silane and propargyl alcohol derivatives did not react even under refluxing conditions.³⁴

Here, it may be of interest to note that the **Fe(CO)₅** on reaction with 1-alkynes under photolysis gives a mixture of benzoquinones **39(a)** and 39(b) in moderate yields (27-50%) after oxidation (eq. 31).³⁷ The internal alkynes failed to give the expected benzoquinones under these conditions.




Also, it was reported that the iron carbonyl reagent prepared using Fe(CO)_5 in alkaline solution, on reaction with acetylene at high temperature gives the hydroquinone 40 (eq. 32).^{38a}



It is well-known that Fe(CO)_5 reacts with NaOH to give HFe(CO)_4^- .^{38c} Accordingly, the formation of hydroquinone may be rationalized by considering the intermediacy of similar reactive species (Scheme 12). Presumably, at high temperature the hydrido iron carbonyl $[\text{HFe(CO)}_4]^-$ species reacts with acetic acid (or H_2O , eq. 32) to give coordinatively unsaturated species that on further reaction with 1-alkynes gives the intermediate complex of the type 41 or the corresponding hydroquinone.^{38a,39,40} Oxidation of 41 or the


corresponding hydroquinone using $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ would give the benzoquinones 38(a) and 38(b) (Scheme 13).

Scheme 13




Similarly, the photochemical reaction of alkyne with $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ in THF, would also give the intermediate complex **41** through coordinatively unsaturated iron carbonyl species (eq. 33, see also eq. **31**).⁴⁰

Table 1: Reaction of iron carbonyl species prepared using FeCl_3 , NaBH_4 , and CH_3COOH under CO with ROCH at 70 °C

S.No	1-Alkyne	Product ^a		Yield ^b
	$\text{R}-\text{C}\equiv\text{C}-\text{H}$	 (a) (b)		
1.	$\text{R} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_{13}$	42a (65%)	42b (35%)	72 %
2.	$\text{R} = \text{Ph}$	43a (52%)	43b (48%)	51 %
3.	$\text{R} = \text{C}_5\text{H}_{11}$	44a (60%)	44b (40%)	80 %
4.	$\text{R} = \text{C}_8\text{H}_{17}$	45a (71%)	45b (29%)	51 %

a) Products were identified from the spectral data IR , ^1H NMR and ^{13}C NMR and comparison with the reported data.³⁷ The spectral data for the compounds **42a**, **42b** and **43a**, **43b** show 1:1 correspondence with the reported data.³⁷ The spectral data for the compounds **44a**, **44b** and **45a**, **45b** are comparable with the data for the compounds **42a**, **42b**. The percentage compositions of isomers (a) and (b) were calculated from the ^1H NMR signal intensities and compared with the reported data.³⁷


b) Yields are of products isolated by column chromatography using hexane as eluent and based on the 1-alkynes used.




Previously, we have prepared reactive iron carbonyl species from HFe(CO)_4^- through reaction with CH_3I (see introductory Section, Chapter 1). Accordingly, we have examined the reaction of the HFe(CO)_4^- species prepared using FeCl_3 , NaBH_4 and CO (Scheme 11) with CH_3I . The results obtained are presented in the next section.

3. 2. 3 Reaction of iron carbonyl species prepared using FeCl_3 , NaBH_4 , CH_3COOH and CO with CH_3I and 1-alkynes

The method of generating coordinatively unsaturated iron carbonyl species " Fe(CO)_4 " using the $\text{HFe(CO)}_4^-/\text{CH}_3\text{I}$ reagent system (eq. 34) is practically simple and convenient for further synthetic applications.⁴¹




As discussed in the Chapter 1, the coordinatively unsaturated species prepared using the NaHFe(CO)_4 (prepared *in situ* from $\text{Na}_2\text{Fe(CO)}_4$ and CH_3COOH) and CHI_3 has been used for the double carbonylation of alkynes to the corresponding cyclobutenediones 46 and α,β -unsaturated carboxylic acids 47 after $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation (eq. 35).⁴²



We examined the preparation of such coordinatively unsaturated species by adding CH_3I to the NaHFe(CO)_4 , prepared using FeCl_3 , NaBH_4 , acetic acid and CO to study its reactivity with alkynes. **Interestingly**, addition of CH_3I at 0 °C to the iron carbonyl species, prepared *in situ* using the $\text{FeCl}_3/\text{NaBH}_4\text{-CO}/\text{CH}_3\text{COOH}$ reagent system, followed by reaction with **1-alkynes** at 25 °C for **12h** in THF, gives the corresponding cyclobutenediones 46 after $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation. However, the yields are only moderate (30-37%) (eq. 36). Several 1-alkynes were converted to the corresponding cyclobutene-diones. The results are summarized in Table 2. The benzoquinones 38 are not formed under these conditions.






We have also examined the effect of temperature on this reaction. It was observed that the addition of CH_3I at $0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ followed by reaction with **1-alkynes** at $70\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ gives a mixture of benzoquinones **38** and cyclobutenediones **46**.³⁴



Internal alkynes such as diphenylacetylene, trimethylsilyl substituted **alkynes** and propargyl alcohol derivatives did not give the expected cyclobutenediones under these conditions.

A tentative mechanistic pathway for the formation of cyclobutenediones can be visualized as shown in Scheme 14. Presumably, the coordinatively unsaturated iron carbonyl species generated after the addition of CH_3I ,⁴¹ reacts with the **1-alkyne** moiety followed by CO insertion to give the intermediate **alkyne-iron** complex **47**. Oxidation of **47** with $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ would lead to the formation of the corresponding cyclobutenediones **46** (Scheme 14).⁴⁷ However, due to the presence of reducing agents in the reaction mixture, the possibility of formation of the hydroxy ferrole iron complex **48** cannot be ruled out (see Scheme 12, Chapter 1).


Table 2: Reaction of iron carbonyl species prepared using FeCl_3 , NaBH_4 , CH_3COOH and CO with CH_3I and $\text{RC}\equiv\text{CH}$

S.No	1-Alkyne	Product ^a	Yield ^b
1.	$\text{H}_{11}\text{C}_5\text{—}\equiv\text{H}$	 49	37 %
2.	$\text{H}_{13}\text{C}_6\text{—}\equiv\text{H}$	 50	35 %
3.	$\text{H}_{17}\text{C}_8\text{—}\equiv\text{H}$	 51	32 %
4.	$\text{H}_{21}\text{C}_{10}\text{—}\equiv\text{H}$	 52	31 %
5.	$\text{Ph—}\equiv\text{H}$	 53	30 %

a) Products were identified from the spectral data **IR**, **^1H NMR**, **^{13}C NMR** and Mass. These data are 1:1 correspondence with the data reported in Chapter 1, also comparable with the reported data.^{44,45}

b) Yields are of products isolated by column chromatography using hexane/ethyl acetate (98:2) as eluent and based on the **1-alkynes** used.

Scheme 14



Though, the yields of cyclobutenediones obtained are only moderate, the one-pot synthetic operation described here, through preparation of the reactive iron carbonyl species starting from simple bench-top chemicals, should be useful for further synthetic exploitation.

3.3 Conclusions

A new method for the preparation of iron carbonyl species *in situ* in THF using simple bench-top chemicals **FeCl₃**, **NaBH₄** and **CH₃COOH** in the presence of CO under mild conditions has been developed. The spectral data recorded show that species prepared in this way may be **HFe(CO)₄⁻**.

The iron carbonyl species prepared in this way is **useful** for the conversion of 1-alkynes to the corresponding **benzoquinones** and cyclobutenediones under different conditions. The cyclobutenediones and benzoquinones are versatile starting materials for the synthesis of biologically active compounds. Accordingly, this one-pot method of conversion of **1-alkynes** to the corresponding benzoquinones and cyclobutenediones using the simple bench-top chemicals should be useful for further synthetic applications.

3. 4 Experimental Section

3. 4. 1 General information:

Several informations given in the experimental section of Chapter 1 are also applicable for the experiments outlined here. The commercially available anhydrous FeCl_3 , CH_3COOH and CH_3I were used in the experiments. The alkynes used in the reactions (except 1-heptyne) were prepared by following


46

reported procedure. All reactions and manipulation were conducted under a dry nitrogen atmosphere. Carbon monoxide was generated by dropwise addition of formic acid (98%) to **conc.** H_2SO_4 (96%) at 90 °C using an apparatus recommended for use in the carbonylation of organoboranes.⁴⁷ The CO was pre-dried by passing through a jar filled with anhydrous KOH pellets before passing into the reaction mixture.

3. 4. 2 Preparation of HFe(CO)_4 *in situ* in THF using FeC I_3 , NaBH_4 , and CH_3COOH under CO atmosphere

Anhydrous FeCl_3 (1.26 g, 10 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was added slowly for 1h to NaBH_4 (1.54 g, 40 mmol) in THF (100 mL) while bubbling CO at 25 °C. The reaction mixture was further stirred for 9h at 25 °C under CO . Acetic acid (4 g, 68 mmol) was added slowly and the mixture was stirred further for 30

min. The CO bubbling was replaced by N_2 atmosphere. The IR and UV spectra were recorded.³⁵



IR (neat) : 2044, 1986 cm^{-1}


UV (λ_{max}) : 490 nm (see Figure 1)

3. 4. 3 Reaction of iron carbonyl species prepared using FeCl_3 , NaBH_4 , CH_3COOH and CO with $\text{RC}\equiv\text{CH}$

Anhydrous FeCl_3 (1.26 g, 10 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was added slowly for 1 h to NaBH_4 (1.54 g, 40 mmol) in THF (100 mL) while bubbling CO at 25 $^\circ\text{C}$. The reaction mixture was further stirred for 9 h at 25 $^\circ\text{C}$ under CO. Acetic acid (4 g, 68 mmol) was added slowly and the mixture was stirred further for 30 min. The CO bubbling was replaced by N_2 atmosphere and 1-octyne (0.090 g, 1.25 mmol) was added and the contents were refluxed at 70 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 12 h. The metal carbonyls in the reaction mixture were decomposed by adding $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (6.8 g, 40 mmol) in acetone (20 mL) at 25 $^\circ\text{C}$. Saturated aq. NaCl (40 mL) was added and the contents were extracted with ether (100 mL). The combined

3. 4. 4 Reaction of iron carbonyl species prepared using FeCl_3 , NaBH_4 , CH_3COOH and CH_3I under CO with $\text{RC}\equiv\text{CH}$

Anhydrous FeCl_3 (1.26 g, 10 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was added slowly for 1h to NaBH_4 (1.54 g, 40 mmol) in THF (100 mL) while bubbling CO at 25 °C. The reaction mixture was further stirred for 9h at 25 °C under CO. Acetic acid (4 g, 68 mmol) was added slowly and stirred further for 30 min. The CO bubbling was replaced by N_2 atmosphere and CH_3I (2.84 g, 20 mmol) was added at 0 °C. Stirring was continued for 30 min. Subsequently, 1-heptyne (0.12 g, 1.25 mmol) was added and the contents were stirred for 12h at 25 °C. The metal carbonyls in the reaction mixture were decomposed by adding $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (6.8 g, 40 mmol) in acetone (20 mL) at 25 °C. Saturated aq. NaCl solution (40 mL) was added and the contents were extracted with ether (100 mL). The organic extract was washed with brine (20 mL). saturated aq. $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ solution, dried over anhydrous MgSO_4 and concentrated. The residue was subjected to column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ethyl acetate). Ethyl acetate (2:98) in hexane eluted the cyclobutenedione 49 (37%, 0.070g).^{44a}



The above procedure was followed for the conversion of other 1-alkynes to the corresponding cyclobutenediones. The data are given in Table 2. The spectral data for the compounds **49-53** were identical to the data reported for the same compounds obtained in the experiments described earlier (see Experimental Section **1.4.2 Chapter 1**).^{44,45}

3.5 References:

1. R. Busby, W. Klotzbucher and G.A. Ozin, *Inorg. Chem.* **1977**, *16*, 822.
2. P.J. Fischer, V.G. Young, Jr. and J.E. Ellis, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, **2000**, *59*, 189.
3. G. Wilkinson, F. G. A. Stone and E. W. Abel (Eds) *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, **Pergamon** Press, Oxford, New York, 1982, **Vol. 3**, p **648**.
4. G. Wilkinson, F. G. A. Stone and E. W. Abel (Eds) *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, **Pergamon** Press, Oxford, New York, 1982, **Vol. 4**.





5. R.D. Closson, L.R. Buzbee and G.G. Ecke, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1958, **80**, 6167.
6. a) H.E. PodalJ, J.H. Dunn and H. Sapiro, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1960, **82**, 1325. b) R.C. Weast (Ed), *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics* CRC Press, **Inc**, Florida, 1974.
7. P. Chini, M. C. Malatesta and A. Cavalieri, *Chim. Ind. (Milan)*, **1973**, **55**, 120.
8. L. Mond, H. Hirtz and M. D. Cowap, *J. Chem. Soc.* **1910**, 798.
9. P. Gilmont and A. A. Blanchard, *Inorg. Chem.* **1946**, **2**, 238.
10. R. J. Clark, S. E. Whiddon and R. E. Serfass, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1968**, **11**, 637.
11. S. Achyutha Rao, Ph. D thesis, *University of Hyderabad*, **1989**.
12. A. Devasagayaraj, Ph. D thesis, *University of Hyderabad*, **1992**.
13. M. L. N. Rao and M. Periasamy, *Organometallics* **1996**, **15**, 442.
14. M.L.N. Rao, and M. Periasamy, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1998**, 577, 183.
15. M. Periasamy, M.L.N. Rao and T. Rajesh *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1997**, 553, 143.
16. T. Rajesh and M. Periasamy, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1999**, **40**, 817.
17. T. Rajesh and M. Periasamy, *Organometallics* **1999**, **18**, 5709.
18. a) C. Narayana and M. Periasamy, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1987**, **323**, 145. b) A. Devasagayaraj and M. Periasamy, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1989**, **30**, 595. c)





- A. Devasagayaraj, S. A. Rao and M. Periasamy, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1991**, *403*, 387.
19. A. Devasagayaraj, M. L. N. Rao and M. Periasamy, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1991**, *421*, 147.
 20. M. L. N. Rao and M. Periasamy, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1995**, *36*, 9069.
 21. M. L. N. Rao and M. Periasamy, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **1998**, *553*, 91.
 22. N Satyanarayana and M. Periasamy, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1987**, *28*, 2633.
 23. J. J. Brunet, C. Sidot and P. Caubere, *J. Org. Chem.* **1981**, *46*, 3147.
 24. J. Chatt, B. L. Shaw and A. E. Field, *J. Chem. Soc.* **1964**, 3466.
 25. A. Carbonaro, XIII I.C.C.C, *Zakopane*, sept. **1970**. Abstr. 387, **vol II**, pg. 421.
 26. A. Carbonaro and A. Greco, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1970**, *25*, All.
 27. A. Carbonaro and F. Cambisi, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1972**, *44*, 11.
 28. B. Loubinoux, B. Fixari, J. J. Brunet and P. Caubere, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1976**, *105*, C22.
 29. M. I. Bruce and F. G. A. Stone, *J. Chem. Soc. (A)* **1967**, 1238.
 30. J.P. Collman, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **1975**, *8*, 342.
 31. A. Devasagayaraj and M. Periasamy, *Trans. Met. Chem.* **1991**, *16*, 503.
 32. G. W. Schaeffer, J. S. Roscoe and A. C. Stewart, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1956**, *78*, 729.
 33. A. Devasagayaraj, M.Phil Thesis, *University of Hyderabad*, **1987**.





34. C. Rameshkumar and M. Periasamy, *Organometallics* (in press)
35. a) **K. Farmery**, M. Kilner, R. **Greetrex** and N.N. Greenwood, *J. Chem. Soc. (A)* **1969**, 2339. b) J. R. Case and M. C. Whiting, *J. Chem. Soc.* **1960**, 4632.
36. F. A. Cotton and J. M. Troup, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1974**, 96, 3438.
37. **K. Maruyama**, T. Shio and Y. Yamamoto, *Bull. Chem. Soc, Jpn.* **1979**, 52, 1877.
38. a) W. Reppe and H. Vetter, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1953**, 582, 133. b) C. W. Bird, *Transition Metal Intermediates in Organic Synthesis*, Logos (London), Academic Press (New York), **1967**, chapter 8. c) R. Noyori, I. **Umeda** and T. **Ishigami**, *J. Org. Chem.* **1972**, 37, 1542.
39. H. **Alper** and J. T. Edward, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1969**, 16, 342 and the references cited therein.
40. H.W. **Sternberg**, R. Markby and I. Wender, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1958**, 50, 1009.
41. K. H. **Whitmire**, T. R. Lee and E. S. Lewis, *Organometallics* **1986**, 5, 987.
42. M. Periasamy, U. Radhakrishnan, J. J. Brunet, R. Chauvin and A. J. Elzaizi, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1996**, 1499.
43. a) S. **Aime**, L. Milone, E. **Sappa**, A. Tiripicchio and A. M. M. **Lanfredi**, *J. Chem. Soc, Dalton* **1979**, 1664. b) F. W. Grevels, D. **Schulz**, E. K. V. Gustorf and D. St. P. Bunbury, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1975**, **91**, 341.



44. M. S. A. Parker and C. J. Rizzo, *Synth. Commun.* **1995**, 25, 2781. b) L. S. Liebeskind and S. L. Baysdon, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1984**, 25, 1747.
45. M. Periasamy, C. Rameshkumar, U. Radhakrishnan and J. J. Brunet, *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, 63, 4930.
46. a) E. V. Dehmlow and M. Lissel, *Tetrahedron* **1981**, 37, 1653. b) L. Brandsma, and H. D. Verkruijsse, *Synthesis of Acetylenes, Allenes and Cumulenes*, Elsevier, New York. **1981**.
47. H. W. Sternberg, H. Greenfield, R. A. Friedel, J. Wotiz, R. Markby and I. Wender, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1954**, 76, 1457.

REPRESENTATIVE SPECTRA



Spectrum No 1 (Chapter 1), ^1H NMR Spectrum (200 MHz, CDCl_3)Spectrum No 2 (Chapter 1), ^{13}C NMR Spectrum (50 MHz, CDCl_3)

Spectrum No 3 (Chapter 1), ^1H NMR Spectrum (200 MHz, CDCl_3)Spectrum No 4 (Chapter 1), ^{13}C NMR Spectrum (50 MHz, CDCl_3)



Spectrum No 5 (Chapter 1), ^1H NMR Spectrum (200 MHz, CDCl_3)**Spectrum No 6 (Chapter 1), ^{13}C NMR Spectrum (50 MHz, CDCl_3)**



Spectrum No 7 (Chapter1), Mass Spectrum (m/z)



Spectrum No 8 (Chapter 1), ^1H NMR Spectrum (200 MHz, CDCl_3)









Spectrum No 9 (Chapter 1), ^{13}C NMR Spectrum (50 MHz, CDCl_3)





Spectrum No 10 (Chapter 2), ^1H NMR Spectrum (200 MHz, CDCl_3)Spectrum No 11 (Chapter 2), ^{13}C NMR Spectrum (50 MHz, CDCl_3)

Spectrum No 12 (Chapter 2), ^{13}C NMR Spectrum (50 MHz, CDCl_3)Spectrum No 13 (Chapter 2), ^{13}C NMR Spectrum (50 MHz, CDCl_3)



Spectrum No 14 (Chapter 2), ^1H NMR Spectrum (200 MHz, CDCl_3)Spectrum No 15 (Chapter 2), ^{13}C NMR Spectrum (50 MHz, CDCl_3)



Spectrum No 16 (Chapter 2), Mass Spectrum (m/z)

Spectrum No 17 (Chapter 2), ^1H NMR Spectrum (200 MHz, CDCl_3)



Spectrum No 18 (Chapter 2), ^{13}C NMR Spectrum (50 MHz, CDCl_3)



Spectrum No 19 (Chapter 2) Mass Spectrum (m/z-H₂O)

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

1. A new method for the regio and stereoselective hydrocarboxylation of alkynes using $\text{NaHFe(CO)}_4/\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ system
M. **Periasamy**, U. Radhakrishnan, C. **Rameshkumar** and J.J. Brunet, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1991,38, 1623.
2. Novel reaction of the $[\text{HFe}_3(\text{CO})_{11}]^-$ reagent with alkynes: A new synthesis of cyclobutenediones
M. Periasamy, C. Rameshkumar and U. Radhakrishnan, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, 38, 7229.
3. New convenient one-pot methods of conversion of alkynes to cyclobutenediones or α,β -unsaturated carboxylic acids using novel reactive iron carbonyl reagents
M. Periasamy, C. Rameshkumar, U. Radhakrishnan and J.J. **Brunet**, *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, 63, 4930.
4. Amine induced carbonylation of alkynes to cyclobutenediones using $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$
C. Rameshkumar and M. Periasamy, *Tetrahedron Lett.* (in press).

5. Reactive iron carbonyl reagent via reduction of **FeCl₃** using **NaBH₄** in the presence of CO: Conversion of **1-alkynes** to benzoquinones and cyclobutenediones

C. **Rameshkumar** and M. **Periasamy** *Organometallics*(in press).

6. Novel Method of Conversion of Alkynes to Cyclic Imides Using Iron Carbonyl Complexes

C. Rameshkumar and M. Periasamy (Communicated)

7. New One-Pot Methods of Conversion of Alkynes to Cyclobutenediones or Benzoquinones or Cyclic Imides Using Iron Carbonyl Reagents

M. Periasamy and C. Rameshkumar (Under Preparation)

Review Article

1. New organic synthetic methods using iron carbonyl reagents

M. Periasamy, C. Rameshkumar, U. Radhakrishnan and A. Devasagayaram,
Current Science (in press).